arXiv:1512.01607v1 [math.CA] 5 Dec 2015

MARCINKIEWICZ-TYPE SPECTRAL MULTIPLIERS ON HARDY AND LEBESGUE SPACES ON PRODUCT SPACES OF HOMOGENEOUS TYPE

PENG CHEN, XUAN THINH DUONG, JI LI, LESLEY A. WARD AND LIXIN YAN

ABSTRACT. Let X_1 and X_2 be metric spaces equipped with doubling measures and let L_1 and L_2 be nonnegative self-adjoint second-order operators acting on $L^2(X_1)$ and $L^2(X_2)$ respectively. We study multivariable spectral multipliers $F(L_1, L_2)$ acting on the Cartesian product of X_1 and X_2. Under the assumptions of the finite propagation speed property and Plancherel or Stein–Tomas restriction type estimates on the operators L_1 and L_2, we show that if a function F satisfies a Marcinkiewicz-type differential condition then the spectral multiplier operator $F(L_1, L_2)$ is bounded from appropriate Hardy spaces to Lebesgue spaces on the product space $X_1 \times X_2$. We apply our results to the analysis of second-order elliptic operators in the product setting, specifically Riesz-transform-like operators and double Bochner–Riesz means.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction 1
2. Notation and preliminary results 6
2.1. Finite propagation speed property for the wave equation 8
2.2. Stein–Tomas restriction type estimates 9
3. The Hardy space $H^p_{L_1,L_2}(X_1 \times X_2)$ 9
3.1. Atomic decomposition for the Hardy space $H^1_{L_1,L_2}(X_1 \times X_2)$ 10
3.2. An interpolation theorem 12
4. Multivariable spectral multiplier theorems 12
5. Off-diagonal estimates for multivariable spectral multipliers 14
6. Proofs of Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 23
7. Applications 30
7.1. Riesz-transform-like operators 30
7.2. Double Bochner–Riesz means 31
References 31

1. INTRODUCTION

Our goal in this paper is to build a theory of Marcinkiewicz-type spectral multipliers in the general setting of spaces of homogeneous type and self-adjoint operators. Our key assumptions on the operators are the finite propagation speed property and restriction type estimates. We work in the multivariable setting and we focus on the boundedness of spectral multipliers acting on Lebesgue spaces and on Hardy spaces associated to the self-adjoint operators. In this section we review these ideas, present our results, and put them in context.

Date: December 8, 2015.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 42B15; Secondary: 42B30, 47B15, 35P05.

Key words and phrases. Marcinkiewicz-type spectral multipliers, Hardy spaces, nonnegative self-adjoint operators, heat semigroup, Stein–Tomas type estimates, restriction type estimates, finite propagation speed property, spaces of homogeneous type.

Let us first explain the definition of multivariable spectral multipliers. Suppose that $X_1 \times X_2$ is the Cartesian product of measure spaces X_1 and X_2 and that L_1 and L_2 are two nonnegative self-adjoint operators acting on the spaces $L^2(X_1)$ and $L^2(X_2)$, respectively. There is a unique spectral decomposition E such that for all Borel subsets $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, $E(A)$ is a projection on $L^2(X_1 \times X_2)$ and such that for all Borel subsets $A_i \subset \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, 2$, one has $E(A_1 \times A_2) = E_{L_1}(A_1) \otimes E_{L_2}(A_2)$. Hence for a bounded Borel function $F : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$, one may define the spectral multiplier operator $F(L_1, L_2)$ acting on the space $L^2(X_1 \times X_2)$ by the formula

$$F(L_1, L_2) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} F(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)\, dE(\lambda_1, \lambda_2). \tag{1.1}$$

Clearly $F(L_1, L_2)$ is bounded on $L^2(X_1 \times X_2)$.

A natural question that arises is to find sufficient conditions on the multiplier function F guaranteeing that the operator $F(L_1, L_2)$ is bounded on $L^p(X_1 \times X_2)$, for $1 < p < \infty$. A similar question can also be asked for $F(L_1, L_2)$ acting on appropriate Hardy spaces. The desired conditions are variants of those to be found in the multiplier theorems of Marcinkiewicz, Mikhlin, and Hörmander; see for instance [13, 15, 20, 24, 25, 28, 33, 36, 38, 39, 40, 41, 45, 46].

The following brief summary outlines three areas of progress in this direction.

i) One early result along these lines, due to Gundy and Stein in 1979 (see [28]), concerns the operator $F(\Delta_1, \Delta_2)$ where $F : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$ is a given bounded function and $\Delta_i = -\sum_{k=1}^{n_i} \partial_{x_k}^2$ is the standard Laplace operator on the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n_i}$, for $i = 1, 2$. This operator $F(\Delta_1, \Delta_2)$ is initially defined by Fourier analysis on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})$, and extends to a bounded operator on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ for all $p \in (1, \infty)$ provided the function F satisfies

$$\left|\partial_{\lambda_2}^{\beta} \partial_{\lambda_1}^{\alpha} F(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)\right| \lesssim \lambda_1^{-\alpha} \lambda_2^{-\beta} \quad \text{for all } \alpha \le \alpha_0 \text{ and } \beta \le \beta_0, \tag{1.2}$$

for some sufficiently large α_0 and β_0. The proof is based on the idea of pointwise majorization of $F(\Delta_1, \Delta_2)$ by the Littlewood-Paley product g and g_λ functions. One cannot obtain (H^1, L^1) boundedness without requiring the function F to have a considerable degree of smoothness.

The complicated structure of Hardy spaces and BMO on product spaces is illustrated by the counterexample of Carleson [6], which disproved the rectangle atomic decomposition conjectures about those spaces. Nevertheless, due to the boundedness criterion established by R. Fefferman [23] and by Journé [34], in order to prove the (H^1, L^1) estimates for L^2-bounded linear operators it suffices to work on the rectangle atoms (we explain the details in Sections 3 and 5). Using this criterion L.K. Chen [11] proved several results on multiplier operators, one of which asserts that if

$$\left|\partial_{\lambda_2}^{\beta} \partial_{\lambda_1}^{\alpha} F(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)\right| \lesssim \lambda_1^{-\alpha} \lambda_2^{-\beta} \quad \text{for } \alpha \le \left[\frac{n_1}{2}\right] + 1,\ \beta \le \left[\frac{n_2}{2}\right] + 1,$$

then $F(\Delta_1, \Delta_2)$ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ for all $p \in (1, \infty)$.

ii) For multivariable spectral multipliers $F(L_1, L_2)$, Sikora proved a multiplier theorem (see [44, Theorem 2.1]) under the assumption that the integral kernels $p_t(x_i, y_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, of the semigroups e^{-tL_i} satisfy Gaussian estimates. He showed that if $F : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$ is a continuous function satisfying the following estimate on its Sobolev norms:

$$\sup_{t>0} \|\eta(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) F(t\lambda_1, t\lambda_2)\|_{W^{s,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)} < \infty \qquad \text{for some } s > (n_1 + n_2)/2, \tag{1.3}$$

then the operator $F(L_1, L_2)$ is of weak type $(1, 1)$, and hence by interpolation and duality, $F(L_1, L_2)$ is bounded on $L^p(X_1 \times X_2)$ for all $1 < p < \infty$. Here X_i, $i = 1, 2$, is a metric space equipped with a doubling measure, n_i is the exponent in the doubling condition (see (1.9) below) and $\eta \in C_0^\infty(0, \infty)$ is a nonzero auxiliary cut-off function.

Note that the condition (1.3) on F is similar to the condition in the Hörmander–Mikhlin Fourier multiplier result (see for example [33, 45, 46]). It was noted on page 322 of [44] that it would be

interesting to obtain a version of [44, Theorem 2.1] with condition (1.3) replaced by condition (1.2). This problem was addressed in [19], as explained in (iii) below. In this paper, we will obtain results in this direction for multivariable spectral multipliers in general settings.

iii) In [19], the second, third and fifth authors of this paper addressed Marcinkiewicz-type multipliers on Hardy and Lebesgue spaces on $\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2}$. More precisely, they considered nonnegative self-adjoint operators L_i on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n_i})$, $i=1,2$, satisfying Gaussian estimates. One can define a class of product Hardy spaces $H^1_{L_1,L_2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ associated with such operators L_1, L_2 (see [19]). Suppose the following mixed Sobolev norm on functions is defined on $\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}$:

$$\|F\|_{W^{(s_1,s_2),2}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})} := \left\| \left(I-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\lambda_1^2}\right)^{s_1/2} \left(I-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\lambda_2^2}\right)^{s_2/2} F(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}. \tag{1.4}$$

With this norm, if

$$\sup_{t_1,t_2>0} \|\eta(\lambda_1)\eta(\lambda_2)F(t_1\lambda_1,t_2\lambda_2)\|_{W^{(s_1,s_2),2}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})}<\infty, \tag{1.5}$$

$$\sup_{t_1>0} \|\eta(\lambda_1)F(t_1\lambda_1,\lambda_2)\|_{W^{(s_1,s_2),2}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})}<\infty, \tag{1.6}$$

and

$$\sup_{t_2>0} \|\eta(\lambda_2)F(\lambda_1,t_2\lambda_2)\|_{W^{(s_1,s_2),2}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})}<\infty \tag{1.7}$$

for some $s_1>(n_1+1)/2$, $s_2>(n_2+1)/2$, then the operator $F(L_1,L_2)$ extends to a bounded operator from $H^1_{L_1,L_2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ to $L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$, and hence by interpolation and duality, $F(L_1,L_2)$ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ for all $1<p<\infty$.

In this paper we continue the line of research described above. We build a theory of Marcinkiewicz-type multipliers, in the sense of condition (1.5), which applies in a rather general setting of self-adjoint operators and metric measure spaces satisfying the doubling condition (1.9). In particular, these spaces are of homogeneous type. We reduce the number of derivatives required from $s_i>(n_i+1)/2$ to $s_i>n_i/2$, by replacing the assumption of Gaussian estimates by the finite propagation speed property (see Subsection 2.1) and Plancherel or restriction type estimates (see Subsection 2.2).

In the strategy adopted in this work, we observe that Plancherel or restriction type estimates play a crucial role. Restriction type estimates originate from classical Fourier analysis where one considers the so-called restriction problem: *describe all pairs of exponents* $(p,2)$ *such that the restriction operator*

$$R_\lambda(f)(\omega)=\widehat{f}(\lambda\omega)$$

is bounded from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)\to L^2(\mathbf{S}^{n-1})$. Here $\widehat{f}$ is the Fourier transform of f and $\omega\in\mathbf{S}^{n-1}$ is a point on the unit sphere. The classical Stein–Tomas restriction theorem establishes the $L^p\to L^2$ boundedness of the restriction operator R_λ for $1\le p\le(2n+3)/(n+1)$; see [46].

The restriction operator R_λ is fundamentally linked to the standard Laplacian $\Delta=\sum_{i=1}^n\partial_{x_i}^2$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$, as follows. Let $dE_{\sqrt{-\Delta}}(\lambda)$ be the spectral measure of $\sqrt{-\Delta}$. A short calculation shows that

$$dE_{\sqrt{-\Delta}}(\lambda)=\frac{\lambda^{n-1}}{(2\pi)^n}R_\lambda^*R_\lambda, \tag{1.8}$$

(compare [27]). By a standard T^*T argument, $dE_{\sqrt{-\Delta}}(\lambda)$ is bounded from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where p' is the conjugate exponent of p $(1/p+1/p'=1)$. In other words, the Stein–Tomas restriction estimates on the $L^p\to L^2$ norm of R_λ can be expressed purely in terms of spectral resolutions of the self-adjoint operator $-\Delta$.

Motivated by these considerations for the standard Laplace operator $-\Delta$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$, *restriction type estimates* ($\mathrm{ST}^2_{\mathrm{p},2}$) (described below) for general self-adjoint operators L on metric measure spaces X were introduced in [13]. An important step in obtaining the boundedness of spectral multiplier operators is to prove restriction type estimates ($\mathrm{ST}^2_{\mathrm{p},2}$). Some results in this direction were obtained in [13, 20, 27].

In preparation for stating our main results, we describe our setting for this paper. We consider two nonnegative self-adjoint operators L_i, $i = 1, 2$, on $L^2(X_i)$ where (X_i, d_i, μ_i) is a metric measure space, d_i is a metric and the measure μ_i satisfies the *doubling condition*: there exist positive constants C, n_i such that

$$V_i(x_i, \lambda r) \le C\lambda^{n_i} V_i(x_i, r) \ \text{ for all } x_i \in X_i,\ \lambda \ge 1,\ r > 0. \tag{1.9}$$

Here $V_i(x_i, r)$ denotes the volume of the open ball $B_i(x_i, r)$ of center x_i and radius r. In particular, X_i is a space of homogeneous type, as defined by Coifman and Weiss [16, Chapter III]. For simplicity, however, in this paper we assume that d_i is a metric, not just a quasimetric.

In addition, we assume that the operators L_i, $i = 1, 2$, satisfy the finite propagation speed property for the corresponding wave equation. With all these assumptions in place, one can define a class of product Hardy spaces $H^p_{L_1,L_2}(X_1 \times X_2)$, $1 \le p \le 2$, associated with the operators L_1 and L_2 (see [12]).

Following [13], we say that the operators L_i, $i = 1, 2$, satisfy the *Stein–Tomas restriction type estimates* ($\mathrm{ST}^q_{p,2}$) if for every $R > 0$ and all Borel functions F such that $\operatorname{supp} F \subseteq [0, R]$,

$$\|F(\sqrt{L_i})P_{B_i(x_i,r)}\|_{L^p(X_i)\to L^2(X_i)} \le CV_i(x_i, r)^{-(1/p-1/2)}(Rr)^{n_i(1/p-1/2)}\|F(R\lambda)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R})} \tag{$\mathrm{ST}^q_{p,2}$}$$

for all $x_i \in X_i$ and all $r \ge 1/R$.

We note that if the volume is polynomial, in other words, if $V_i(x_i, r) \sim r^{n_i}$, then ($\mathrm{ST}^2_{p,2}$) is equivalent to the $(p, 2)$ restriction estimate of Stein–Tomas (see Section 2 below).

Our main results on spectral multipliers are as follows. (They are restated as Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 below.) They involve restriction type estimates of the form ($\mathrm{ST}^2_{p_i,2}$) and ($\mathrm{ST}^\infty_{p_i,2}$) respectively, along with corresponding Sobolev conditions.

Main Result 1.1. *Let (X_1, d_1, μ_1) and (X_2, d_2, μ_2) be metric measure spaces satisfying the doubling condition (1.9) with exponents n_1, n_2 respectively. Let L_1 and L_2 be nonnegative self-adjoint operators acting on $L^2(X_1)$ and $L^2(X_2)$ respectively. Suppose L_1 and L_2 satisfy the finite propagation speed property as well as restriction type estimates ($\mathrm{ST}^2_{p_1,2}$) and ($\mathrm{ST}^2_{p_2,2}$) respectively, for some p_1, p_2 with $1 \le p_1 < 2$, $1 \le p_2 < 2$. Suppose $s_1 > n_1/2$ and $s_2 > n_2/2$. Let F be a bounded Borel function satisfying the following Sobolev conditions with respect to the L^2 norm: the mixed Sobolev condition*

$$\sup_{t_1,t_2>0} \|\eta(\lambda_1)\eta(\lambda_2)F(t_1\lambda_1, t_2\lambda_2)\|_{W^{(s_1,s_2),2}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})} < \infty, \tag{1.10}$$

and the two one-variable Sobolev conditions

$$\sup_{t_1>0} \|\eta(\lambda_1)F(t_1\lambda_1, 0)\|_{W^{s_1,2}(\mathbb{R})} < \infty, \tag{1.11}$$

and

$$\sup_{t_2>0} \|\eta(\lambda_2)F(0, t_2\lambda_2)\|_{W^{s_2,2}(\mathbb{R})} < \infty, \tag{1.12}$$

where $\eta \in C^\infty_0(0, \infty)$ is a nonzero auxiliary cut-off function. Then the operator $F(L_1, L_2)$ extends to a bounded operator from $H^1_{L_1,L_2}(X_1 \times X_2)$ to $L^1(X_1 \times X_2)$. Moreover, the operator $F(L_1, L_2)$ is bounded on $L^p(X_1 \times X_2)$ for all $p \in (p_{\max}, p'_{\max})$, where $p_{\max} = \max\{p_1, p_2\}$.

Main Result 1.2. *Let (X_1, d_1, μ_1) and (X_2, d_2, μ_2) be metric measure spaces satisfying the doubling condition (1.9) with exponents n_1, n_2 respectively. Let L_1 and L_2 be nonnegative self-adjoint operators acting on $L^2(X_1)$ and $L^2(X_2)$ respectively. Suppose that L_1 and L_2 satisfy the finite propagation speed*

property as well as restriction type estimates $(\mathrm{ST}^2_{p_1,2})$ *and* $(\mathrm{ST}^2_{p_2,2})$ *respectively, for some* p_1, p_2 *with* $1 \le p_1 < 2$, $1 \le p_2 < 2$. *Suppose* $s_1 > n_1/2$ *and* $s_2 > n_2/2$. *Let* F *be a bounded Borel function satisfying the following Sobolev conditions with respect to the* L^∞ *norm: the mixed Sobolev condition*

$$\sup_{t_1,t_2>0} \|\eta(\lambda_1)\eta(\lambda_2)F(t_1\lambda_1, t_2\lambda_2)\|_{W^{(s_1,s_2),\infty}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})} < \infty, \tag{1.13}$$

and the two one-variable Sobolev conditions

$$\sup_{t_1>0} \|\eta(\lambda_1)F(t_1\lambda_1, 0)\|_{W^{s_1,\infty}(\mathbb{R})} < \infty, \tag{1.14}$$

and

$$\sup_{t_2>0} \|\eta(\lambda_2)F(0, t_2\lambda_2)\|_{W^{s_2,\infty}(\mathbb{R})} < \infty, \tag{1.15}$$

where $\eta \in C_0^\infty(0,\infty)$ *is a nonzero auxiliary cut-off function. Then the operator* $F(L_1, L_2)$ *extends to a bounded operator from* $H^1_{L_1,L_2}(X_1 \times X_2)$ *to* $L^1(X_1 \times X_2)$. *Moreover, the operator* $F(L_1, L_2)$ *is bounded on* $L^p(X_1 \times X_2)$ *for all* $p \in (p_{\max}, p'_{\max})$, *where* $p_{\max} = \max\{p_1, p_2\}$.

Remark 1.3. If the operator $F(L_1, L_2)$ is bounded from $H^1_{L_1,L_2}(X_1 \times X_2)$ to $L^1(X_1 \times X_2)$, then by the interpolation theorem (Theorem 3.6 below), we know that $F(L_1, L_2)$ is bounded from $H^p_{L_1,L_2}(X_1 \times X_2)$ to $L^p(X_1 \times X_2)$ not just for $p \in (p_{\max}, 2]$, but for all $p \in (1, 2]$. However, Proposition 3.2 below, which guarantees that the Hardy spaces $H^p_{L_1,L_2}(X_1 \times X_2)$ coincide with $L^p(X_1 \times X_2)$, relies on the assumption that $p \in (p_{\max}, 2]$. Thus we obtain the L^p boundedness only for all $p \in (p_{\max}, 2]$ and by duality for all $p \in (p_{\max}, p'_{\max})$.

Remark 1.4. Note that if L_i, $i = 1, 2$, are nonnegative self-adjoint operators on $L^2(X_i)$ satisfying Gaussian estimates, then estimates (FS) and $(\mathrm{ST}^\infty_{1,2})$ hold (see Section 2.2 below). Hence for any bounded Borel function satisfying conditions (1.13), (1.14) and (1.15) for some $s_1 > n_1/2$, $s_2 > n_2/2$, the operator $F(L_1, L_2)$ extends to a bounded operator from $H^1_{L_1,L_2}(X_1 \times X_2)$ to $L^1(X_1 \times X_2)$. It follows by interpolation and duality that $F(L_1, L_2)$ is bounded on $L^p(X_1 \times X_2)$ for all p with $1 < p < \infty$. See Corollary 4.3 below.

Remark 1.5. If we assume in addition that the spectrum of L_1 (or L_2) does not include the point 0, or that 0 belongs to the absolutely continuous spectrum of L_1 (or L_2), then the conclusions of Main Results 1.1 and 1.2 still hold without assuming the one-variable Sobolev conditions (1.12) (or (1.11)) and (1.15) (or (1.14)). For more detail see Remark 6.3 at the end of Section 6. For example, when L_1 and L_2 are standard Laplacians on Euclidean spaces, the mixed Sobolev condition (1.10) alone on F is enough to yield the L^p-boundedness of $F(L_1, L_2)$ for all $1 < p < \infty$. We note that in this setting of Laplacians on Euclidean spaces, under the same condition (1.10) Carbery and Seeger proved the $H^1 \to H^1$ boundedness of the multiplier, using a different approach (see [5, Corollary 5.2]).

Remark 1.6. We note that our methods could be extended to establish k-parameter analogues of our results, for the spectral multiplier $F(L_1, \ldots, L_k)$ with $k \ge 3$.

Our main contribution in this paper is to draw together three disparate components in order to establish the desired boundedness results for the Marcinkiewicz-type spectral multiplier $F(L_1, L_2)$ on product spaces. These three components are: (a) Hardy spaces $H^1_{L_1,L_2}(X_1 \times X_2)$ associated to operators L_1, L_2, and their atomic decomposition, (b) two-parameter restriction type estimates, and their use in proving off-diagonal estimates, and (c) the use of Journé's Lemma in the proofs of our main results.

We should mention that unlike the one-parameter case, under the hypotheses of Main Result 1.1 or 1.2 we cannot expect the operator $F(L_1, L_2)$ in the setting of $X_1 \times X_2$ to satisfy the weak-type $(1, 1)$ estimate, since, as shown in [19], even in the setting of Euclidean spaces $\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$ the weak-type $(1, 1)$

estimate does not hold for $F(L_1, L_2)$. That is, there does not exist a uniform constant C such that for every $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})$, the endpoint estimate

$$\left|\{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2} : |T f(x)| > \alpha\}\right| \le \frac{C}{\alpha}\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})}, \quad \text{for all } \alpha > 0$$

holds. From the point of view of interpolation theory, Main Results 1.1 and 1.2 show that the Calderón–Zygmund theory on product domains shifts the focus of attention from the 'weak' L^1 theory to the 'strong' (H^1, L^1)-theory (see for example [4, 7, 8, 9, 19, 23]). The recently developed atomic decomposition for the Hardy spaces $H^1_{L_1,L_2}(X_1 \times X_2)$ (see Section 3.1 below and [12]) plays a key role in the proofs of our main results in Section 6.

A novel aspect of this paper is the two-parameter restriction type estimates obtained in Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2; to our knowledge these have not appeared in the literature before. They play a crucial role in the chain of implications from the one-parameter restriction type estimates $(\mathrm{ST}^2_{p,2})$ and $(\mathrm{ST}^\infty_{p,2})$ to our off-diagonal estimates.

In the multiparameter setting, we make use of Journé's Lemma [35], which acts as a multiparameter substitute for the usual one-parameter covering lemmas.

We note two important ways in which our paper is not simply a straightforward generalization of [19]. First, here we prove the boundedness of the spectral multiplier assuming the existence of fewer derivatives ($n_i/2$ instead of $(n_i + 1)/2$ derivatives). Second, for a large class of operators, we show that the only condition required on the bounded Borel function F is the mixed Sobolev condition (1.13) (as discussed in Remarks 1.5 and 6.3). Each of these points requires numerous innovations in the proofs.

The layout of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we give our notation and recall some basic properties of heat kernels, the notion of the finite propagation speed property for the wave equation, and the Stein–Tomas restriction type estimates. In Section 3 we recall the theory of the Hardy spaces $H^p_{L_1,L_2}(X_1 \times X_2)$, $1 \le p \le 2$, associated with operators L_1, L_2. Our main results, Theorems 4.1 and 4.2, are stated in Section 4, along with some examples of operators for which the assumptions of Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 hold, namely the standard Laplace operator, Schrödinger operators with inverse-square potential, and sub-Laplacians on homogeneous groups. In Section 5 we use the Stein–Tomas restriction type estimates to obtain off-diagonal estimates of multivariable spectral multipliers, which play an important role in the proofs of Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 in Section 6. Finally, in Section 7 we conclude our paper by applying our results to the analysis of second-order elliptic differential operators, specifically Riesz-transform-like operators and double Bochner–Riesz means.

Throughout, the symbols "c" and "C" will denote (possibly different) constants that are independent of the essential variables.

2. Notation and preliminary results

We begin by describing our notation and basic assumptions. As is usually the case in the theory of spectral multipliers, we require the doubling condition for the underlying spaces, together with some estimates for semigroups generated by operators (see for instance [20, 22, 41, 44]). We assume that the ambient spaces X_i, $i = 1, 2$, are each equipped with a Borel measure μ_i and metric d_i. We suppose throughout that for $i = 1, 2$, μ_i is a doubling measure: there exists a constant $C_i > 0$ such that

$$V_i(x_i, 2r) \le C_i V_i(x_i, r) \qquad \text{for all } r > 0,\ x_i \in X_i, \tag{2.1}$$

where $V_i(x_i, r)$ denotes the volume of the open ball $B_i = B_i(x_i, r) := \{y_i \in X_i : d_i(y_i, x_i) < r\}$ (see [16]). Equivalently, there exist positive constants C and n_i such that the doubling condition (1.9) holds:

$$V_i(x_i, \lambda r) \le C\lambda^{n_i} V_i(x_i, r) \ \text{ for all } x_i \in X_i,\ \lambda \ge 1,\ r > 0.$$

Throughout this paper we always assume that $V_i(X_i) = \infty$ and condition (1.9) holds.

In particular, X_1 and X_2 are spaces of homogeneous type, as defined in [16, Chapter III]. We recall that a *space of homogeneous type* is a set X equipped with a quasimetric ρ for which all the balls $B(x,r)$ are open, and with a nonnegative Borel measure μ that is a *doubling measure*:

$$\mu(B(x,2r)) \le A_1\mu(B(x,r)) \qquad \text{for all } x \in X,\ r > 0,$$

where A_1 is finite and independent of x and r. Also the volume $\mu(B(x,r))$ of each ball is required to be finite. A quasimetric satisfies the same conditions as a metric, except that the triangle inequality is weakened to the condition

$$\rho(x,y) \le A_0(\rho(x,z) + \rho(z,y)) \qquad \text{for all } x, y, z \in X,$$

where A_0 is finite and independent of x, y and z.

Given $\lambda > 0$ and a ball B_i in X_i, we write λB_i for the λ-dilated ball, which is the ball with the same center as B_i and with radius $r_{\lambda B_i} = \lambda r_{B_i}$. We define the sets

$$U_0(B_i) := B_i \qquad \text{and} \qquad U_k(B_i) := 2^k B_i \backslash 2^{k-1} B_i \ \text{ for } \ k = 1, 2, \dots. \tag{2.2}$$

Let $X_1 \times X_2$ be the Cartesian product of X_1 and X_2 with the product measure $\mu = \mu_1 \times \mu_2$. For functions f defined on $X_1 \times X_2$, we will use the mixed Lebesgue norms $\|\cdot\|_{L^{p_1}(X_1;\, L^{p_2}(X_2))}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{L^{p_1}(X_2;\, L^{p_2}(X_1))}$ for $p_1, p_2 \in [1,\infty]$ defined by

$$\|f\|_{L^{p_1}(X_1;\, L^{p_2}(X_2))} := \Big\| \big\| f(x_1,x_2) \big\|_{L^{p_2}(X_2)} \Big\|_{L^{p_1}(X_1)}$$

and

$$\|f\|_{L^{p_1}(X_2;\, L^{p_2}(X_1))} := \Big\| \big\| f(x_1,x_2) \big\|_{L^{p_2}(X_1)} \Big\|_{L^{p_1}(X_2)},$$

respectively. In particular, when $p_1 = p_2 = p$ for some $1 \le p \le \infty$, we see that $\|f\|_{L^{p_1}(X_1;\, L^{p_2}(X_2))} = \|f\|_{L^{p_1}(X_2;\, L^{p_2}(X_1))} = \|f\|_{L^p(X_1\times X_2)}$.

For functions F defined on $\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}$, to avoid ambiguity, we use the notation

$$\|F\|_{L^{p_1}_{\lambda_1}(\mathbb{R};\, L^{p_2}_{\lambda_2}(\mathbb{R}))} := \Big\| \big\| F(\lambda_1,\lambda_2) \big\|_{L^{p_2}_{\lambda_2}(\mathbb{R})} \Big\|_{L^{p_1}_{\lambda_1}(\mathbb{R})}$$

and

$$\|F\|_{L^{p_1}_{\lambda_2}(\mathbb{R};\, L^{p_2}_{\lambda_1}(\mathbb{R}))} := \Big\| \big\| F(\lambda_1,\lambda_2) \big\|_{L^{p_2}_{\lambda_1}(\mathbb{R})} \Big\|_{L^{p_1}_{\lambda_2}(\mathbb{R})}.$$

We use the following mixed Sobolev norms:

$$\|F\|_{W^{(s_1,s_2),p}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})} := \left\| \left(I - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial\lambda_1^2}\right)^{s_1/2} \left(I - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial\lambda_2^2}\right)^{s_2/2} F \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)},$$

$$\|F\|_{L^{p_2}_{\lambda_2}(\mathbb{R};\, W^{s,p_1}_{\lambda_1}(\mathbb{R}))} := \left\| \left(I - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial\lambda_1^2}\right)^{s/2} F \right\|_{L^{p_2}_{\lambda_2}(\mathbb{R};\, L^{p_1}_{\lambda_1}(\mathbb{R}))},$$

and

$$\|F\|_{L^{p_1}_{\lambda_1}(\mathbb{R};\, W^{s,p_2}_{\lambda_2}(\mathbb{R}))} := \left\| \left(I - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial\lambda_2^2}\right)^{s/2} F \right\|_{L^{p_1}_{\lambda_1}(\mathbb{R};\, L^{p_2}_{\lambda_2}(\mathbb{R}))}.$$

We write $\|T\|_{\mathcal{B}_1\to\mathcal{B}_2}$ for the operator norm of a bounded linear operator $T : \mathcal{B}_1 \to \mathcal{B}_2$ between Banach spaces $\mathcal{B}_1$ and $\mathcal{B}_2$.

Given a subset $E \subseteq X_1 \times X_2$, we denote by χ_E the characteristic function of E and set

$$P_E f(x) := \chi_E(x) f(x).$$

Given $R > 0$ and a one-variable function F on $\mathbb{R}$, the dilation $\delta_R F$ is defined by $\delta_R F(\lambda) := F(R\lambda)$. Given $R_1, R_2 > 0$ and a function F on $\mathbb{R}^2$, the dilation $\delta_{(R_1,R_2)}F$ is defined by

$$(\delta_{(R_1,R_2)}F)(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) := F(R_1\lambda_1, R_2\lambda_2). \tag{2.3}$$

In particular, $\delta_{(R_1,1)}F := F(R_1\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ represents dilation in the first variable only, by a factor of R_1, and similarly for $\delta_{(1,R_2)}F := F(\lambda_1, R_2\lambda_2)$. For $F \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, the Fourier transform of F is given by

$$\widehat{F}(\xi_1, \xi_2) \;:=\; \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} F(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) e^{-i(\xi_1\lambda_1 + \xi_2\lambda_2)}\, d\lambda_1 d\lambda_2.$$

As usual, p' denotes the conjugate exponent of $p \in [1, \infty]$, so that $1/p + 1/p' = 1$.

2.1. **Finite propagation speed property for the wave equation.** For $i = 1, 2$, and for $\rho > 0$, we define the diagonal subset $\mathcal{D}^i_\rho$ of $X_i \times X_i$ by

$$\mathcal{D}^i_\rho := \{(x_i, y_i) \in X_i \times X_i : d_i(x_i, y_i) \le \rho\}.$$

Consider an operator T_i from $L^p(X_i)$ to $L^q(X_i)$, for some p, q. Fix $\rho > 0$. We say that

$$\operatorname{supp} K_{T_i} \subseteq \mathcal{D}^i_\rho \tag{2.4}$$

if $\langle T_i f, g\rangle = 0$ for all f, g in $C(X_i)$ whose supports are far apart with respect to ρ, in the following sense:

(i) f is supported in some ball $B_i(x_i^{(f)}, r^{(f)})$,
(ii) g is supported in some ball $B_i(x_i^{(g)}, r^{(g)})$, and
(iii) $r^{(f)} + r^{(g)} + \rho < d_i(x_i^{(f)}, x_i^{(g)})$.

Note that if T_i is an integral operator with a kernel K_{T_i}, then (2.4) coincides with the standard meaning of $\operatorname{supp} K_{T_i} \subseteq \mathcal{D}^i_\rho$, namely $K_{T_i}(x_i, y_i) = 0$ for all $(x_i, y_i) \notin \mathcal{D}^i_\rho$.

Definition 2.1. For $i = 1, 2$, let L_i be a nonnegative self-adjoint operator on $L^2(X_i)$, where X_i is a metric measure space. We say that the operators L_i, $i = 1, 2$, satisfy the *finite propagation speed property* if

$$\operatorname{supp} K_{\cos(t\sqrt{L_i})} \subseteq \mathcal{D}^i_t \quad \text{for all } t > 0. \tag{FS}$$

Property (FS) holds for most second-order self-adjoint operators. It is equivalent to the *Davies–Gaffney estimates*, which state that the semigroup e^{-tL_i} has integral kernels $p_t(x_i, y_i)$ satisfying the following estimates

$$\left\| P_{B_i(x_i,\sqrt{t})} e^{-tL_i} P_{B_i(y_i,\sqrt{t})} \right\|_{L^2(X_i)\to L^2(X_i)} \le C \exp\left(-c\frac{d_i^2(x_i, y_i)}{t}\right) \tag{DG}$$

for all $t > 0$ and all $x_i, y_i \in X_i$. See for example [10, 17, 43].

Recall that the semigroup e^{-tL_i} generated by L_i, $i = 1, 2$, is said to satisfy *Gaussian estimates* if there exist constants $C, c > 0$ such that for all $t > 0$ and all $x_i, y_i \in X_i$, the semigroup e^{-tL_i} has integral kernels $p_t(x_i, y_i)$ satisfying the following estimates

$$|p_t(x_i, y_i)| \le \frac{C}{V_i(x_i, \sqrt{t})} \exp\left(-c\tfrac{d_i^2(x_i,y_i)}{t}\right). \tag{GE}$$

It is immediate that (GE) $\Rightarrow$ (DG). However, there are many operators which satisfy Davies–Gaffney estimates (DG) but for which classical Gaussian estimates (GE) fail. For example, Schrödinger operators with inverse-square potential fall into this category [37]. See also Section 4 below.

2.2. **Stein–Tomas restriction type estimates.** In this subsection we recall the restriction type estimates $(\mathrm{ST}^q_{p,2})$, which were originally introduced in [20] for $p = 1$, and then in [13] for general p. These estimates control the $L^p \to L^2$ operator norm of the composition of a multiplier $F(\sqrt{L_i})$ with a suitable projection, in terms of the $L^q(\mathbb{R})$ norm of a suitable dilate of F.

Definition 2.2. Let X_i, $i = 1, 2$, be metric measure spaces satisfying the doubling condition (1.9) with exponent n_i. Consider nonnegative self-adjoint operators L_i, $i = 1, 2$, and numbers p, q such that $1 \le p < 2$ and $1 \le q \le \infty$. We say that L_i satisfies the *Stein–Tomas restriction type estimates* $(\mathrm{ST}^q_{p,2})$ if there is a constant $C > 0$ such that for each $R > 0$ and for all Borel functions F with $\operatorname{supp} F \subset [0, R]$, we have

$$\|F(\sqrt{L_i})P_{B_i(x_i,r)}\|_{L^p(X_i)\to L^2(X_i)} \le C\left(\frac{(Rr)^{n_i}}{V_i(x_i,r)}\right)^{1/p-1/2}\|F(R\lambda)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R})} \tag{$\mathrm{ST}^q_{p,2}$}$$

for all $x_i \in X_i$ and for all $r \ge 1/R$.

Note that if restriction type estimates $(\mathrm{ST}^q_{p,2})$ hold for some $q \in [1, \infty)$, then $(\mathrm{ST}^{\tilde q}_{p,2})$ holds for all $\tilde q \ge q$ including the case $\tilde q = \infty$.

It is known that for the standard Laplace operator Δ_i on $\mathbb{R}^{n_i}$, restriction type estimates $(\mathrm{ST}^2_{p,2})$ are equivalent to the $(p, 2)$ restriction estimates of Stein–Tomas, namely

$$\|dE_{\sqrt{\Delta_i}}(\lambda)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{n_i})\to L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^{n_i})} \le C\lambda^{n_i(1/p-1/p')-1}$$

for all $p \in [1, 2(n + 1)/(n + 3)]$; see [13, Proposition 2.4].

The following result, which was proved in [13, Proposition 2.3], shows that if $q = \infty$ then restriction type estimates $(\mathrm{ST}^\infty_{p,2})$ follow from the standard elliptic estimates.

Proposition 2.3 ([13])**.** *Assume that the metric measure spaces X_i, $i = 1, 2$, satisfy the doubling condition (1.9) with exponent n_i. Suppose $1 \le p < 2$ and $N > n_i(1/p - 1/2)$. Let L_i, $i = 1, 2$, be nonnegative self-adjoint operators. Then $(\mathrm{ST}^\infty_{p,2})$ is equivalent to each of the following conditions:*

(a) *For all $x_i \in X_i$ and $r \ge t > 0$,*

$$\|e^{-t^2L_i}P_{B_i(x_i,r)}\|_{L^p(X_i)\to L^2(X_i)} \le CV_i(x_i,r)^{1/2-1/p}\left(\frac{r}{t}\right)^{n_i(1/p-1/2)}. \tag{$\mathrm{G}_{p,2}$}$$

(b) *For all $x \in X$ and $r \ge t > 0$,*

$$\|(I + t\sqrt{L_i})^{-N}P_{B_i(x_i,r)}\|_{L^p(X_i)\to L^2(X_i)} \le CV_i(x_i,r)^{1/2-1/p}\left(\frac{r}{t}\right)^{n_i(1/p-1/2)}. \tag{$\mathrm{E}_{p,2}$}$$

Note that when L_i, $i = 1, 2$, are nonnegative self-adjoint operators on $L^2(X_i)$ satisfying Gaussian estimates (GE), it follows from Proposition 2.3 that estimate $(\mathrm{ST}^\infty_{1,2})$ holds.

3. The Hardy space $H^p_{L_1,L_2}(X_1 \times X_2)$

The theory of the Hardy spaces $H^1_{L_1,L_2}(\mathbb{R}^{n_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{n_2})$ associated to operators L_i, $i = 1, 2$, was introduced and studied in [18, 19] in the case where the underlying space $\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$ is the product of Euclidean spaces and the operators L_i, $i = 1, 2$, are self-adjoint and nonnegative and possess Gaussian upper bounds on their heat kernels. This theory was generalized in [12] to the case where the underlying space $X_1 \times X_2$ is the product of metric measure spaces X_i, $i = 1, 2$, satisfying the doubling condition (1.9) with exponent n_i, and L_i, $i = 1, 2$, are nonnegative self-adjoint operators satisfying the finite propagation speed property. We give a brief presentation of this generalization, which is needed for our study of multivariable spectral multipliers. For more detail, see [12].

Given a function f on $L^2(X_1 \times X_2)$, the square function $S(f)$ associated with operators L_1 and L_2 is defined by

$$S(f)(x) := \left(\iint_{\Gamma(x)} \left| (t_1^2 L_1 e^{-t_1^2 L_1} \otimes t_2^2 L_2 e^{-t_2^2 L_2}) f(y) \right|^2 \frac{dy\,dt}{t_1 t_2 V_1(x_1, t_1) V_2(x_2, t_2)} \right)^{1/2}, \tag{3.1}$$

where $\Gamma(x)$ is the product cone $\Gamma(x) := \Gamma_1(x_1) \times \Gamma_2(x_2)$ and $\Gamma_i(x_i) := \{(y_i, t_i) \in X_i \times \mathbb{R}_+ : d_i(x_i, y_i) < t_i\}$, $i = 1, 2$. It is known that there exist constants C_1, C_2 with $0 < C_1 \le C_2 < \infty$ such that for any $f \in L^2(X_1 \times X_2)$,

$$C_1 \|f\|_{L^2(X_1 \times X_2)} \le \|S(f)\|_{L^2(X_1 \times X_2)} \le C_2 \|f\|_{L^2(X_1 \times X_2)}. \tag{3.2}$$

Definition 3.1. Suppose $1 \le p \le 2$. The *Hardy space* $H^p_{L_1,L_2}(X_1 \times X_2)$ *associated to* L_1 *and* L_2 is defined as the completion of the set

$$\{f \in L^2(X_1 \times X_2) : \|S(f)\|_{L^p(X_1 \times X_2)} < \infty\}$$

with respect to the norm

$$\|f\|_{H^p_{L_1,L_2}(X_1 \times X_2)} := \|S(f)\|_{L^p(X_1 \times X_2)}.$$

Using Fubini's theorem and the spectral theorem, it can be shown that $H^2_{L_1,L_2}(X_1 \times X_2) = L^2(X_1 \times X_2)$ with equivalent norms. Additionally, the set $H^1_{L_1,L_2}(X_1 \times X_2) \cap L^2(X_1 \times X_2)$ is dense in $H^1_{L_1,L_2}(X_1 \times X_2)$. Note that in the special case of $\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$ with L_i being the usual Laplacian Δ_{n_i} on $\mathbb{R}^{n_i}$, the Hardy space $H^p_{L_1,L_2}(X_1 \times X_2)$ from Definition 3.1 coincides with the Hardy space $H^1(\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2})$, with equivalent norms; see [8, 9].

Proposition 3.2. *Let L_i, $i = 1, 2$, be nonnegative self-adjoint operators on $L^2(X_i)$ satisfying the finite propagation speed property* (FS) *and the estimate* ($G_{p_i,2}$) *for some p_i with $1 \le p_i < 2$. Then for each p with $\max\{p_1, p_2\} < p \le 2$, the Hardy space $H^p_{L_1,L_2}(X_1 \times X_2)$ and the Lebesgue space $L^p(X_1 \times X_2)$ coincide and their norms are equivalent.*

Proof. This proof is an extension of a similar proof of the corresponding result for the one-parameter Hardy space $H^p_L(X)$ (see [32, Proposition 9.1(v)] and [1]). For the proof of Proposition 3.2, we refer the reader to [12]. □

3.1. **Atomic decomposition for the Hardy space $H^1_{L_1,L_2}(X_1 \times X_2)$.** Following [12], we introduce the notion of $(H^1_{L_1,L_2}, 2, N)$-atoms associated to operators L_1 and L_2. As noted in the introduction, our metric measure spaces (X_i, d_i, μ_i), $i = 1, 2$, are examples of spaces of homogeneous type. Thus the following result of M. Christ (see [14] and the references therein) shows that they possess a dyadic grid analogous to that of Euclidean space.

Theorem 3.3 ([14], Theorem 11)**.** *Let (X, ρ, μ) be a space of homogeneous type (as defined in Section 2). Then there exist a collection of open subsets $\{I^k_\alpha \subset X : k \in \mathbb{Z}, \alpha \in \Lambda_k\}$, where Λ_k denotes some (possibly finite) index set depending on k, and constants $\delta \in (0, 1)$, $a_0 > 0$, $\eta > 0$ and $C_1, C_2 < \infty$ such that*

(i) $\mu(X \setminus \cup_\alpha I^k_\alpha) = 0$ *for all* $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.
(ii) *If* $\ell \ge k$ *then either* $I^\ell_\beta \subset I^k_\alpha$ *or* $I^\ell_\beta \cap I^k_\alpha = \emptyset$.
(iii) *For each* (k, α) *and each* $\ell < k$, *there is a unique* β *such that* $I^k_\alpha \subset I^\ell_\beta$.
(iv) *Diameter* $(I^k_\alpha) \le C_1 \delta^k$.
(v) *Each* I^k_α *contains some ball* $B(z^k_\alpha, a_0 \delta^k)$.
(vi) $\mu(x \in I^k_\alpha : \rho(x, X \setminus I^k_\alpha) \le t\delta^k) \le C_2 t^\eta \mu(I^k_\alpha)$ *for all* k, α, *for all* $t > 0$.

The sets I_α^k are known as dyadic cubes. We write $\ell(I_\alpha^k)$ for the diameter of I_α^k. By the *s-fold dilate* sI_α^k *of a dyadic cube* I_α^k for $s > 0$, we mean the ball $B(z_\alpha^k, sC_1\delta^k/2)$ centred at z_α^k of radius $sC_1\delta^k/2$.

Let X_i, $i = 1, 2$, be spaces of homogeneous type. The open set $I_{\alpha_1}^{k_1} \times I_{\alpha_2}^{k_2}$, for $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\alpha_1 \in \Lambda_{k_1}$ and $\alpha_2 \in \Lambda_{k_2}$, is called a *dyadic rectangle of* $X_1 \times X_2$. Let $\Omega \subset X_1 \times X_2$ be an open set of finite measure. Denote by $m(\Omega)$ the maximal dyadic subrectangles of Ω. By the *s-fold dilate* sR *of a dyadic rectangle* $R = I_{\alpha_1}^{k_1} \times I_{\alpha_2}^{k_2}$ for $s > 0$, we mean the the product $sR := sI_{\alpha_1}^{k_1} \times sI_{\alpha_2}^{k_2}$ of the s-fold dilates of the factors.

Definition 3.4. Let N be a positive integer. A function $a \in L^2(X_1 \times X_2)$ is called an $(H^1_{L_1,L_2}, 2, N)$-*atom* if it satisfies the conditions

1) $\operatorname{supp} a \subset \Omega$, where Ω is an open set of $X_1 \times X_2$ with finite measure; and

2) a can be further decomposed into

$$a = \sum_{R \in m(\Omega)} a_R,$$

where $m(\Omega)$ is the set of all maximal dyadic subrectangles of Ω, and there exists a function $b_R \in L^2(X_1 \times X_2)$ such that for each $k_1, k_2 \in \{0, 1, \dots, N\}$, b_R belongs to the range of $L_1^{k_1} \otimes L_2^{k_2}$, and moreover such that

(i) $a_R = (L_1^N \otimes L_2^N) b_R$;

(ii) $\operatorname{supp} (L_1^{k_1} \otimes L_2^{k_2}) b_R \subset 10R, \quad k_1, k_2 \in \{0, 1, \dots, N\}$;

(iii) $\|a\|_{L^2(X_1\times X_2)} \le \mu(\Omega)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and

$$\sum_{k_2=0}^{N} \sum_{k_2=0}^{N} \sum_{R \in m(\Omega)} \ell(I_R)^{-4N} \ell(J_R)^{-4N} \left\| (\ell(I_R)^2 L_1)^{k_1} \otimes (\ell(J_R)^2 L_2)^{k_2} b_R \right\|^2_{L^2(X_1\times X_2)} \le V(\Omega)^{-1}.$$

We are now able to define an atomic Hardy space, $H^1_{L_1,L_2,at,N}(X_1 \times X_2)$. It is shown in [12] that this atomic Hardy space is equivalent to the Hardy space $H^1_{L_1,L_2}(X_1 \times X_2)$ that is defined above via square functions.

Definition 3.5. Let $N > \max\{n_1/4, n_2/4\}$. The Hardy space $H^1_{L_1,L_2,at,N}(X_1 \times X_2)$ is defined as follows. We say that $f = \sum \lambda_j a_j$ is an *atomic* $(H^1_{L_1,L_2}, 2, N)$*-representation of* f if $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=0}^\infty \in \ell^1$, each a_j is an $(H^1_{L_1,L_2}, 2, N)$-atom, and the sum converges in $L^2(X_1 \times X_2)$. Set

$$\mathbb{H}^1_{L_1,L_2,at,N}(X_1 \times X_2) := \left\{ f : f \text{ has an atomic } (H^1_{L_1,L_2}, 2, N)\text{-representation} \right\},$$

with the norm given by

$$\|f\|_{\mathbb{H}^1_{L_1,L_2,at,N}(X_1\times X_2)} := \inf \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\lambda_j| : f = \sum_j \lambda_j a_j \text{ is an atomic } (H^1_{L_1,L_2}, 2, N)\text{-representation} \right\}. \tag{3.3}$$

The *Hardy space* $H^1_{L_1,L_2,at,N}(X_1 \times X_2)$ is then defined as the completion of $\mathbb{H}^1_{L_1,L_2,at,N}(X_1 \times X_2)$ with respect to this norm.

Consequently, when $N > \max\{n_1/4, n_2/4\}$ one may simply write $H^1_{L_1,L_2,at}(X_1 \times X_2)$ in place of $H^1_{L_1,L_2,at,N}(X_1 \times X_2)$, as these spaces are all equivalent. Thus the Hardy space $H^1_{L_1,L_2}(X_1 \times X_2)$ is given by

$$H^1_{L_1,L_2}(X_1 \times X_2) = H^1_{L_1,L_2,at}(X_1 \times X_2) = H^1_{L_1,L_2,at,N}(X_1 \times X_2)$$

for each $N > \max\{n_1/4, n_2/4\}$.

3.2. **An interpolation theorem.** Finally, we state the following Marcinkiewicz-type interpolation theorem. For its proof, we refer the reader to [12].

Theorem 3.6. *Let L_i, $i = 1, 2$, be nonnegative self-adjoint operators on $L^2(X_i)$ satisfying the finite propagation speed property* (FS). *Let T be a sublinear operator bounded from $H^1_{L_1,L_2}(X_1 \times X_2)$ into $L^1(X_1 \times X_2)$ and bounded on $L^2(X_1 \times X_2)$ with operator norms C_1 and C_2, respectively. If $1 < p \le 2$, then T is bounded from $H^p_{L_1,L_2}(X_1 \times X_2)$ into $L^p(X_1 \times X_2)$ and*

$$\|Tf\|_{H^p_{L_1,L_2}(X_1\times X_2)} \le C\|f\|_{L^p(X_1\times X_2)}, \tag{3.4}$$

where C depends only on C_1, C_2, and p.

For more detail on Hardy spaces associated to operators in the one-parameter and multiparameter settings, see also [2, 12, 18, 19, 21, 30, 31, 32] and the references therein.

4. Multivariable spectral multiplier theorems

In this section we state our main results, which show that restriction type estimates together with the finite propagation speed property can be used to obtain Marcinkiewicz-type multiplier theorems on Hardy and Lebesgue spaces. We defer the proofs to Section 6. We assume that the metric measure spaces X_i satisfy the doubling condition (1.9) with exponent n_i, for $i = 1, 2$.

In what follows, we shall be working with a nontrivial auxiliary function ϕ with compact support. Let ϕ be a $C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R})$ function such that

$$\operatorname{supp}\phi \subseteq (1,4) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{\ell\in\mathbb{Z}} \phi(2^{-\ell}\lambda) = 1 \quad \text{for all} \ \ \lambda > 0. \tag{4.1}$$

Set

$$\eta_1(\lambda_1) := \phi(\lambda_1), \ \ \eta_2(\lambda_2) := \phi(\lambda_2) \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_{(1,2)}(\lambda_1,\lambda_2) := \phi(\lambda_1)\phi(\lambda_2). \tag{4.2}$$

The aim of this paper is to prove the following two Marcinkiewicz-type theorems, which involve restriction type estimates of the form $(\mathrm{ST}^2_{p_i,2})$ and $(\mathrm{ST}^\infty_{p_i,2})$ respectively, together with corresponding Sobolev conditions.

Theorem 4.1. *Let X_i, $i = 1, 2$, be metric measure spaces satisfying the doubling condition* (1.9) *with exponent n_i. Let L_i, $i = 1, 2$, be nonnegative self-adjoint operators on $L^2(X_i)$ satisfying the finite propagation speed property* (FS) *and restriction type estimates* $(\mathrm{ST}^2_{p_i,2})$ *for some p_i with $1 \le p_i < 2$. Suppose $s_1 > n_1/2$ and $s_2 > n_2/2$. Let F be a bounded Borel function satisfying the following Sobolev conditions with respect to the L^2 norm: the mixed Sobolev condition*

$$\sup_{t_1,t_2>0} \left\|\eta_{(1,2)}\delta_{(t_1,t_2)}F\right\|_{W^{(s_1,s_2),2}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})} < \infty, \tag{4.3}$$

and the two one-variable Sobolev conditions

$$\sup_{t_1>0} \left\|\eta_1\delta_{(t_1,1)}F(\cdot,0)\right\|_{W^{s_1,2}(\mathbb{R})} < \infty, \tag{4.4}$$

and

$$\sup_{t_2>0} \left\|\eta_2\delta_{(1,t_2)}F(0,\cdot)\right\|_{W^{s_2,2}(\mathbb{R})} < \infty. \tag{4.5}$$

Then

(i) *the operator $F(L_1, L_2)$ extends to a bounded operator from $H^1_{L_1,L_2}(X_1 \times X_2)$ to $L^1(X_1 \times X_2)$, and*

(ii) *$F(L_1, L_2)$ is bounded on $L^p(X_1 \times X_2)$ for all $p \in (p_{\max}, p'_{\max})$, where $p_{\max} := \max\{p_1, p_2\}$.*

Theorem 4.2. *Let X_i, $i = 1, 2$, be metric measure spaces satisfying the doubling condition (1.9) with exponent n_i. Let L_i, $i = 1, 2$, be nonnegative self-adjoint operators on $L^2(X_i)$ satisfying the finite propagation speed property* (FS) *and restriction type estimates* $(\mathrm{ST}^{\infty}_{p_i,2})$ *for some p_i with $1 \le p_i < 2$. Suppose $s_1 > n_1/2$ and $s_2 > n_2/2$. Let F be a bounded Borel function satisfying the following Sobolev conditions with respect to the L^∞ norm: the mixed Sobolev condition*

$$\sup_{t_1,t_2>0} \left\| \eta_{(1,2)} \delta_{(t_1,t_2)} F \right\|_{W^{(s_1,s_2),\infty}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})} < \infty, \tag{4.6}$$

and the two one-variable Sobolev conditions

$$\sup_{t_1>0} \left\| \eta_1 \delta_{(t_1,1)} F(\cdot, 0) \right\|_{W^{s_1,\infty}(\mathbb{R})} < \infty, \tag{4.7}$$

and

$$\sup_{t_2>0} \left\| \eta_2 \delta_{(1,t_2)} F(0, \cdot) \right\|_{W^{s_2,\infty}(\mathbb{R})} < \infty. \tag{4.8}$$

Then

(i) *the operator $F(L_1, L_2)$ extends to a bounded operator from $H^1_{L_1,L_2}(X_1 \times X_2)$ to $L^1(X_1 \times X_2)$, and*

(ii) *$F(L_1, L_2)$ is bounded on $L^p(X_1 \times X_2)$ for all $p \in (p_{\max}, p'_{\max})$, where $p_{\max} := \max\{p_1, p_2\}$.*

As we have seen in Section 2.2, in the important special case when L_i, $i = 1, 2$, are nonnegative self-adjoint operators on $L^2(X_i)$ satisfying Gaussian estimates (GE), it follows from Proposition 2.3 that estimates (FS) and $(\mathrm{ST}^{\infty}_{1,2})$ hold. Therefore in this case one can omit the hypotheses of the finite propagation speed property and restriction type estimates in Theorem 4.2. We describe the details in Corollary 4.3 below.

Corollary 4.3. *Let X_i, $i = 1, 2$, be metric measure spaces satisfying the doubling condition (1.9) with exponent n_i. Let L_i, $i = 1, 2$, be nonnegative self-adjoint operators on $L^2(X_i)$ satisfying Gaussian estimates* (GE). *Additionally, assume that F is a bounded Borel function satisfying conditions (4.6), (4.7) and (4.8) for some $s_1 > n_1/2$, $s_2 > n_2/2$. Then the operator $F(L_1, L_2)$ extends to a bounded operator from $H^1_{L_1,L_2}(X_1 \times X_2)$ to $L^1(X_1 \times X_2)$. Hence by interpolation and duality, $F(L_1, L_2)$ is bounded on $L^p(X_1 \times X_2)$ for all p such that $1 < p < \infty$.*

Proof. Corollary 4.3 follows from Theorem 4.2 and Proposition 3.2. □

The proofs of Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 are given in Section 6; they rely on the off-diagonal estimates established in Section 5.

We end this section by describing three types of nonnegative self-adjoint operators L that satisfy property (FS) together with restriction type estimates $(\mathrm{ST}^2_{p,2})$ for some p with $1 \le p < 2$.

1) Standard Laplace operator. As mentioned in the introduction, when Δ denotes the usual Laplacian on $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \ge 2$, we have

$$\left\| dE_{\sqrt{\Delta}}(\lambda) \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)\to L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C\lambda^{n(1/p-1/(p'))-1}, \quad \lambda > 0 \tag{4.9}$$

for $1 \le p \le 2(n+1)/(n+3)$. Thus the restriction type estimates $(\mathrm{ST}^2_{p,2})$ for the standard Laplace operator on $\mathbb{R}^n$ are valid for $1 \le p \le 2(n+1)/(n+3)$.

2) Schrödinger operators with inverse-square potential. Consider an inverse square potential $V(x) = c/|x|^2$. Fix $n > 2$ and assume that $c > -(n-2)^2/4$. Define $L := -\Delta + V$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$ by the quadratic form method. The classical Hardy inequality

$$-\Delta \geq \frac{(n-2)^2}{4}|x|^{-2} \tag{4.10}$$

shows that for all $c > -(n-2)^2/4$, the self-adjoint operator L is nonnegative. If $c \geq 0$, then the semigroup $\exp(-tL)$ is pointwise bounded by the Gaussian semigroup and hence acts on all L^p spaces with $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. For $c < 0$, set $p_c^* = n/\sigma$ where $\sigma = (n-2)/2 - \sqrt{(n-2)^2/4 + c}$; then $\exp(-tL)$ acts as a uniformly bounded semigroup on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $p \in ((p_c^*)', p_c^*)$, and the range $((p_c^*)', p_c^*)$ is optimal (see for example [37]).

It is known (see for instance [13]) that L satisfies restriction type estimates $(\mathrm{ST}^2_{p,2})$ for all $p \in [1, 2n/(n+2)]$ if $c \geq 0$, and for all $p \in ((p_c^*)', 2n/(n+2)]$ if $c < 0$.

3) Sub-Laplacians on homogeneous groups. Let $\mathbf{G}$ be a Lie group of polynomial growth and let $X_1, \ldots, X_k$ be a system of left-invariant vector fields on $\mathbf{G}$ satisfying the Hörmander condition. We define the Laplace operator L acting on $L^2(\mathbf{G})$ by the formula

$$L := -\sum_{i=1}^{k} X_i^2. \tag{4.11}$$

If $B(x,r)$ is the ball defined by the distance associated with the system $X_1, \ldots, X_k$, then there exist natural numbers $n_0, n_\infty \geq 0$ such that $V(x,r) \sim r^{n_0}$ for $r \leq 1$ and $V(x,r) \sim r^{n_\infty}$ for $r > 1$ (see for example [47, Chapter III.2]). It follows that the doubling condition (1.9) holds with exponent $n = \max\{n_0, n_\infty\}$, that is,

$$V(x, \lambda r) \leq C\lambda^{\max\{n_0, n_\infty\}} V(x,r) \qquad \text{for all } x \in \mathbf{G},\ \lambda \geq 1,\ r > 0.$$

We call $\mathbf{G}$ a *homogeneous group* if there exists a family of dilations on $\mathbf{G}$. A family of dilations on a Lie group $\mathbf{G}$ is a one-parameter group $(\tilde{\delta}_t)_{t>0}$ (thus $\tilde{\delta}_t \circ \tilde{\delta}_s = \tilde{\delta}_{ts}$) of automorphisms of $\mathbf{G}$ determined by

$$\tilde{\delta}_t Y_j = t^{n_j} Y_j,$$

where $Y_1, \ldots, Y_\ell$ is a linear basis of the Lie algebra of $\mathbf{G}$ and $n_j \geq 1$ for $1 \leq j \leq \ell$ (see [24]). We say that an operator L defined by (4.11) is homogeneous if $\tilde{\delta}_t X_i = tX_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$ and the system $X_1, \ldots, X_k$ satisfies the Hörmander condition. Then for the sub-Riemannian geometry corresponding to the system $X_1, \ldots, X_k$, one has $n_0 = n_\infty = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} n_j$ (see [24]). Hence in a homogeneous group, $n = \max\{n_0, n_\infty\} = n_0 = n_\infty$. It is well known that the heat kernel corresponding to the sub-Laplacian L on a homogeneous group satisfies Gaussian estimates (GE). It is also not difficult to check that for some constant $C > 0$,

$$\left\| F(\sqrt{L}) \right\|^2_{L^2(G) \to L^\infty(G)} = C \int_0^\infty |F(t)|^2 t^{n-1}\, dt.$$

See for example [20, equation (7.1)] or [15, Proposition 10]. It follows from the above equality that the operator L satisfies estimate $(\mathrm{ST}^2_{1,2})$.

See also Section 7 for applications of Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 and Corollary 4.3.

5. Off-diagonal estimates for multivariable spectral multipliers

In this section we show that restriction type estimates $(\mathrm{ST}^2_{p_i,2})$ or $(\mathrm{ST}^\infty_{p_i,2})$ can be used to obtain off-diagonal estimates on spectral multipliers in the abstract setting of self-adjoint operators acting on product metric measure spaces. As usual, we assume that the metric measure spaces X_i, $i = 1,$

2, satisfy the doubling condition (1.9) with exponent n_i. We use these off-diagonal estimates in the proofs of Theorems 4.1 and 4.2, in Section 6.

We begin with two lemmas showing that the estimates $(\mathrm{ST}^2_{p_i,2})$ or $(\mathrm{ST}^\infty_{p_i,2})$ imply corresponding two-parameter restriction type estimates.

Lemma 5.1. *Assume that the nonnegative self-adjoint operators L_i, $i = 1, 2$, satisfy restriction type estimates $(\mathrm{ST}^2_{p_i,2})$ for some p_i with $1 \le p_i < 2$. Then we have the following two-parameter restriction type estimates:*

(i) *For every $R_1, R_2 > 0$ and all Borel functions F such that* $\operatorname{supp} F \subseteq [0, R_1] \times [0, R_2]$,

$$\big\|F(\sqrt{L_1}, \sqrt{L_2})P_{B_1(x_1,r_1)\times B_2(x_2,r_2)}\big\|_{L^{p_1}(X_1;\, L^{p_2}(X_2))\to L^2(X_1\times X_2)} \le C\prod_{i=1}^{2}\left(\frac{(R_i r_i)^{n_i}}{V_i(x_i, r_i))}\right)^{1/p_i-1/2} \big\|\delta_{(R_1,R_2)}F\big\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}$$

for all $x_i \in X_i$ and all $r_i \ge 1/R_i$, $i = 1, 2$.

(ii) *For every $R_1 > 0$ and all Borel functions F such that* $\operatorname{supp} F \subseteq [0, R_1] \times [0, \infty)$,

$$\big\|F(\sqrt{L_1}, \sqrt{L_2})P_{B_1(x_1,r_1)\times X_2}\big\|_{L^{p_1}(X_1;\, L^2(X_2))\to L^2(X_1\times X_2)} \le C\left(\frac{(R_1 r_1)^{n_1}}{V_1(x_1, r_1)}\right)^{1/p_1-1/2} \big\|\delta_{(R_1,1)}F\big\|_{L^\infty_{\lambda_2}(\mathbb{R};\, L^2_{\lambda_1}(\mathbb{R}))}$$

for all $x_1 \in X_1$ and all $r_1 \ge 1/R_1$.

(iii) *For every $R_2 > 0$ and all Borel functions F such that* $\operatorname{supp} F \subseteq [0, \infty) \times [0, R_2]$,

$$\big\|F(\sqrt{L_1}, \sqrt{L_2})P_{X_1\times B_2(x_2,r_2)}\big\|_{L^{p_2}(X_2;\, L^2(X_1))\to L^2(X_1\times X_2)} \le C\left(\frac{(R_2 r_2)^{n_2}}{V_2(x_2, r_2)}\right)^{1/p_2-1/2} \big\|\delta_{(1,R_2)}F\big\|_{L^\infty_{\lambda_1}(\mathbb{R};\, L^2_{\lambda_2}(\mathbb{R}))}$$

for all $x_2 \in X_2$ and all $r_2 \ge 1/R_2$.

Proof. Suppose that F is a Borel function with $\operatorname{supp} F \subseteq [0, R_1] \times [0, R_2]$. For $i = 1, 2$, we consider Riemann partitions of $[-1, R_i]$:

$$-1 = \lambda^{(i)}_1 < \lambda^{(i)}_2 < \ldots < \lambda^{(i)}_{n_i} = R_i, \qquad \Delta^{(i)}_{\ell_i} := \lambda^{(i)}_{\ell_i+1} - \lambda^{(i)}_{\ell_i}.$$

Let $\tilde\lambda^{(i)}_{\ell_i} \in (\lambda^{(i)}_{\ell_i}, \lambda^{(i)}_{\ell_i+1}]$. Taking the limit of the Riemann approximation (see [48, page 310]),

$$F(\sqrt{L_1}, \sqrt{L_2}) \;=\; \lim_{\substack{\Delta^{(1)}_{\ell_1}\to 0\\ \Delta^{(2)}_{\ell_2}\to 0}} \sum_{\ell_1=1}^{n_1}\sum_{\ell_2=1}^{n_2} F(\tilde\lambda^{(1)}_{\ell_1}, \tilde\lambda^{(2)}_{\ell_2})\chi_{(\lambda^{(1)}_{\ell_1},\lambda^{(1)}_{\ell_1+1}]}(\sqrt{L_1})\chi_{(\lambda^{(2)}_{\ell_2},\lambda^{(2)}_{\ell_2+1}]}(\sqrt{L_2}),$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big\|F(\sqrt{L_1}, \sqrt{L_2})P_{B_1(x_1,r_1)\times B_2(x_2,r_2)}g\big\|^2_{L^2(X_1\times X_2)}\\
&\le \lim_{\substack{\Delta^{(1)}_{\ell_1}\to 0\\ \Delta^{(2)}_{\ell_2}\to 0}} \sum_{\ell_1=1}^{n_1}\sum_{\ell_2=1}^{n_2} |F(\tilde\lambda^{(1)}_{\ell_1}, \tilde\lambda^{(2)}_{\ell_2})|^2 \big\|\chi_{(\lambda^{(1)}_{\ell_1},\lambda^{(1)}_{\ell_1+1}]}(\sqrt{L_1})\chi_{(\lambda^{(2)}_{\ell_2},\lambda^{(2)}_{\ell_2+1}]}(\sqrt{L_2})P_{B_1(x_1,r_1)\times B_2(x_2,r_2)}g\big\|^2_{L^2(X_1\times X_2)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

We then apply the restriction type estimates $(\mathrm{ST}^2_{p_i,2})$ for L_i to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big\|\chi_{(\lambda^{(1)}_{\ell_1},\lambda^{(1)}_{\ell_1+1}]}(\sqrt{L_1})\chi_{(\lambda^{(2)}_{\ell_2},\lambda^{(2)}_{\ell_2+1}]}(\sqrt{L_2})P_{B_1(x_1,r_1)\times B_2(x_2,r_2)}\big\|_{L^{p_1}(X_1;\, L^{p_2}(X_2))\to L^2(X_1\times X_2)}\\
&\le \prod_{i=1}^{2}\big\|\chi_{(\lambda^{(i)}_{\ell_i},\lambda^{(i)}_{\ell_i+1}]}(\sqrt{L_i})P_{B_i(x_i,r_i)}\big\|_{L^{p_i}(X_i)\to L^2(X_i)}\\
&\le C\prod_{i=1}^{2}\left(\frac{(R_i r_i)^{n_i}}{V(x_i, r_i)}\right)^{1/p_i-1/2}\sqrt{\frac{\lambda^{(i)}_{\ell_i+1} - \lambda^{(i)}_{\ell_i}}{R_i}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting this estimate back into inequality (5.1) and taking the limit, we obtain item (i).

Turning to item (ii), suppose that F is a Borel function with $\operatorname{supp} F \subseteq [0,R_1]\times[0,\infty)$. Consider Riemann partitions of $[-1,R_1)\times[-1,\infty)$:

$$-1=\lambda_1^{(1)}<\lambda_2^{(1)}<\cdots<\lambda_{n_1}^{(1)}=R_1,\quad -1=\lambda_1^{(2)}<\lambda_2^{(2)}<\cdots<\lambda_{\ell_2}^{(2)}<\lambda_{\ell_2+1}^{(2)}<\cdots.$$

For every $i=1,2$, we set $\Delta_{\ell_i}^{(i)}:=\lambda_{\ell_i+1}^{(i)}-\lambda_{\ell_i}^{(i)}$ for $\ell_1=1,2,\dots,n_1$ and $\ell_2=1,2,\dots$. Let $\tilde\lambda_{\ell_i}^{(i)}\in(\lambda_{\ell_i}^{(i)},\lambda_{\ell_i+1}^{(i)}]$. Again, taking the limit of the Riemann approximation, an argument as in item (i) shows that

$$\begin{aligned}&\big\|F(\sqrt{L_1},\sqrt{L_2})P_{B_1(x_1,r_1)\times X_2}g\big\|_{L^2(X_1\times X_2)}^2\\ &\le\lim_{\substack{\Delta_{\ell_1}^{(1)}\to0\\ \Delta_{\ell_2}^{(2)}\to0}}\sum_{\ell_1=1}^{n_1}\sum_{\ell_2=1}^{\infty}|F(\tilde\lambda_{\ell_1}^{(1)},\tilde\lambda_{\ell_2}^{(2)})|^2\,\big\|\chi_{(\lambda_{\ell_1}^{(1)},\lambda_{\ell_1+1}^{(1)}]}(\sqrt{L_1})\chi_{(\lambda_{\ell_2}^{(2)},\lambda_{\ell_2+1}^{(2)}]}(\sqrt{L_2})P_{B_1(x_1,r_1)\times X_2}g\big\|_{L^2(X_1\times X_2)}^2.\end{aligned}\tag{5.2}$$

We then apply the restriction type estimates $(\mathrm{ST}^2_{p_1,2})$ for L_1 and Minkowski's inequality to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\big\|\chi_{(\lambda_{\ell_1}^{(1)},\lambda_{\ell_1+1}^{(1)}]}(\sqrt{L_1})\chi_{(\lambda_{\ell_2}^{(2)},\lambda_{\ell_2+1}^{(2)}]}(\sqrt{L_2})P_{B_1(x_1,r_1)\times X_2}f\big\|_{L^2(X_1\times X_2)}^2\\ &\quad\le\big\|\chi_{(\lambda_{\ell_1}^{(1)},\lambda_{\ell_1+1}^{(1)}]}(\sqrt{L_1})P_{B_1(x_1,r_1)}\big\|_{L^{p_1}(X_1)\to L^2(X_1)}^2\,\big\|\chi_{(\lambda_{\ell_2}^{(2)},\lambda_{\ell_2+1}^{(2)}]}(\sqrt{L_2})f\big\|_{L^2(X_2;L^{p_1}(X_1))}^2\\ &\quad\le C\left(\frac{(R_1r_1)^{n_1}}{V(x_1,r_1)}\right)^{2/p_1-1}\frac{\lambda_{\ell_1+1}^{(1)}-\lambda_{\ell_1}^{(1)}}{R_1}\,\big\|\chi_{(\lambda_{\ell_2}^{(2)},\lambda_{\ell_2+1}^{(2)}]}(\sqrt{L_2})f\big\|_{L^{p_1}(X_1;L^2(X_2))}^2.\end{aligned}$$

This, together with (5.2), yields

$$\begin{aligned}&\big\|F(\sqrt{L_1},\sqrt{L_2})P_{B_1(x_1,r_1)\times X_2}g\big\|_{L^2(X_1\times X_2)}^2\\ &\le\lim_{\substack{\Delta_{\ell_1}^{(1)}\to0\\ \Delta_{\ell_2}^{(2)}\to0}}\sum_{\ell_2=1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{\ell_1=1}^{n_1}|F(\tilde\lambda_{\ell_1}^{(1)},\tilde\lambda_{\ell_2}^{(2)})|^2\left(\frac{(R_1r_1)^{n_1}}{V(x_1,r_1)}\right)^{2/p_1-1}\frac{\lambda_{\ell_1+1}^{(1)}-\lambda_{\ell_1}^{(1)}}{R_1}\right)\left(\big\|\chi_{(\lambda_{\ell_2}^{(2)},\lambda_{\ell_2+1}^{(2)}]}(\sqrt{L_2})g\big\|_{L^{p_1}(X_1;L^2(X_2))}^2\right)\\ &\le\lim_{\Delta_{\ell_2}^{(2)}\to0}\left(\frac{(R_1r_1)^{n_1}}{V_1(x_1,r_1)}\right)^{2/p_1-1}\big\|\delta_{(R_1,1)}F\big\|_{L^\infty_{\lambda_2}(\mathbb{R};L^2_{\lambda_1}(\mathbb{R}))}^2\left(\sum_{\ell_2=1}^{\infty}\big\|\chi_{(\lambda_{\ell_2}^{(2)},\lambda_{\ell_2+1}^{(2)}]}(\sqrt{L_2})g\big\|_{L^{p_1}(X_1;L^2(X_2))}^2\right)\\ &\le\left(\frac{(R_1r_1)^{n_1}}{V_1(x_1,r_1)}\right)^{2/p_1-1}\big\|\delta_{(R_1,1)}F\big\|_{L^\infty_{\lambda_2}(\mathbb{R};L^2_{\lambda_1}(\mathbb{R}))}^2\|g\|_{L^{p_1}(X_1;L^2(X_2))}^2,\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\sum_{\ell_2=1}^{\infty}\big\|\chi_{(\lambda_{\ell_2}^{(2)},\lambda_{\ell_2+1}^{(2)}]}(\sqrt{L_2})g\big\|_{L^{p_1}(X_1,L^2(X_2))}^2\right)&\le\left(\int_{X_1}\left[\sum_{\ell_2=1}^{\infty}\big\|\chi_{(\lambda_{\ell_2}^{(2)},\lambda_{\ell_2+1}^{(2)}]}(\sqrt{L_2})g\big\|_{L^2(X_2)}^2\right]^{p_1/2}\right)^{2/p_1}\\ &\le C\left(\int_{X_1}\|g\|_{L^2(X_2)}^{p_1}\right)^{2/p_1}\\ &=C\|g\|_{L^{p_1}(X_1;L^2(X_2))}^2\end{aligned}$$

by Minkowski's inequality. This proves item (ii).

Item (iii) can be obtained by a symmetric argument. Hence the proof of Lemma 5.1 is complete. □

Lemma 5.2. *Assume that the nonnegative self-adjoint operators L_i, $i=1,2$, satisfy restriction type estimates $(\mathrm{ST}^\infty_{p_i,2})$ for some p_i with $1\le p_i<2$. Then we have the following two-parameter restriction type estimates:*

(i) *For every* $R_1, R_2 > 0$ *and all bounded Borel functions* F *such that* $\operatorname{supp} F \subseteq [0,R_1]\times[0,R_2]$,

$$\big\|F(\sqrt{L_1},\sqrt{L_2})P_{B_1(x_1,r_1)\times B_2(x_2,r_2)}\big\|_{L^{p_1}(X_1;L^{p_2}(X_2))\to L^2(X_1\times X_2)} \le C\prod_{i=1}^{2}\left(\frac{(R_ir_i)^{n_i}}{V_i(x_i,r_i)}\right)^{1/p_i-1/2}\big\|\delta_{(R_1,R_2)}F\big\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}$$

for all $x_i \in X_i$ *and all* $r_i \ge 1/R_i$, $i = 1, 2$.

(ii) *For every* $R_1 > 0$ *and all bounded Borel functions* F *such that* $\operatorname{supp} F \subseteq [0,R_1]\times[0,\infty)$,

$$\big\|F(\sqrt{L_1},\sqrt{L_2})P_{B_1(x_1,r_1)\times X_2}\big\|_{L^{p_1}(X_1;L^2(X_2))\to L^2(X_1\times X_2)} \le C\left(\frac{(R_1r_1)^{n_1}}{V_1(x_1,r_1)}\right)^{1/p_1-1/2}\big\|\delta_{(R_1,1)}F\big\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}$$

for all $x_1 \in X_1$ *and all* $r_1 \ge 1/R_1$.

(iii) *For every* $R_2 > 0$ *and all bounded Borel functions* F *such that* $\operatorname{supp} F \subseteq [0,\infty)\times[0,R_2]$,

$$\big\|F(\sqrt{L_1},\sqrt{L_2})P_{X_1\times B_2(x_2,r_2)}\big\|_{L^{p_2}(X_2;L^2(X_1))\to L^2(X_1\times X_2)} \le C\left(\frac{(R_2r_2)^{n_2}}{V_2(x_2,r_2)}\right)^{1/p_2-1/2}\big\|\delta_{(1,R_2)}F\big\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}$$

for all $x_2 \in X_2$ *and all* $r_2 \ge 1/R_2$.

Proof. Suppose that F is a bounded Borel function with $\operatorname{supp} F \subseteq [0,R_1]\times[0,R_2]$. We set

$$H_1(\lambda_1) := e^{-\lambda_1/R_1}\chi_{[0,R_1]}(\lambda_1), \quad H_2(\lambda_2) := e^{-\lambda_2/R_2}\chi_{[0,R_2]}(\lambda_2), \quad \text{and} \quad G(\lambda_1,\lambda_2) := F(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)e^{\lambda_1/R_1}e^{\lambda_2/R_2}.$$

Then we have

$$F(\lambda_1,\lambda_2) = G(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)H_1(\lambda_1)H_2(\lambda_2).$$

It is immediate that $\|G\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} \le C\|F\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}$. Since the operators L_1 and L_2 satisfy restriction type estimates $(\mathrm{ST}^\infty_{p_1,2})$ and $(\mathrm{ST}^\infty_{p_2,2})$ respectively, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big\|F(\sqrt{L_1},\sqrt{L_2})P_{B_1(x_1,r_1)\times B_2(x_2,r_2)}\big\|_{L^{p_1}(X_1;L^{p_2}(X_2))\to L^2(X_1\times X_2)}\\
&\quad= \Big\|G(\sqrt{L_1},\sqrt{L_2})\prod_{i=1}^{2}H_i(\sqrt{L_i})P_{B_i(x_i,r_i)}\Big\|_{L^{p_1}(X_1;L^{p_2}(X_2))\to L^2(X_1\times X_2)}\\
&\quad\le \big\|G(\sqrt{L_1},\sqrt{L_2})\big\|_{L^2(X_1\times X_2)\to L^2(X_1\times X_2)}\prod_{i=1}^{2}\big\|H_i(\sqrt{L_i})P_{B_i(x_i,r_i)}\big\|_{L^{p_i}(X_i)\to L^2(X_i)}\\
&\quad\le C\|G\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}\prod_{i=1}^{2}\left[\left(\frac{(R_ir_i)^{n_i}}{V_i(x_i,r_i)}\right)^{1/p_i-1/2}\big\|\delta_{R_i}H_i\big\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}\right]\\
&\quad\le C\prod_{i=1}^{2}\left(\frac{(R_ir_i)^{n_i}}{V_i(x_i,r_i)}\right)^{1/p_i-1/2}\big\|\delta_{(R_1,R_2)}F\big\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)},
\end{aligned}$$

which implies (i) for the pair of operators (L_1, L_2).

The proofs of (ii) and (iii) can be obtained by making minor modifications to the argument for (i). We omit the details. This proves Lemma 5.2. □

Define

$$\mathscr{S}_{\text{even}} := \{F : \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{C} : F(\lambda_1,\lambda_2) \text{ is even in each variable separately}\}.$$

The aim of this section is to prove Propositions 5.3 and 5.5, which play important roles in the proofs of our multivariable spectral multipliers results, Theorems 4.1 and 4.2, respectively. We begin with Proposition 5.3, which involves restriction type estimates of the form $(\mathrm{ST}^2_{p_i,2})$.

Proposition 5.3. *Suppose that the metric measure spaces X_i, $i = 1, 2$, satisfy the doubling condition (1.9) with exponent n_i. Further assume that L_i, $i = 1, 2$, are nonnegative self-adjoint operators satisfying the finite propagation speed property* (FS) *and restriction type estimates* $(\mathrm{ST}^2_{p_i,2})$*, for some p_i with $1 \le p_i < 2$. Suppose that $F \in \mathscr{S}_{\mathrm{even}}$.*

(i) *If the function F is supported on $[-R_1, R_1] \times [-R_2, R_2]$, then for each $s > 0$ and $t > 0$, for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a constant $C_{s,t}$ such that for every $B_1(x_1, r_1) \times B_2(x_2, r_2)$ and for every $j, k = 1, 2, \dots,$*

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big\| P_{B_1(x_1,2^j r_1)^c \times B_2(x,2^k r_2)^c} F(\sqrt{L_1}, \sqrt{L_2}) P_{B_1(x_1,r_1)\times B_2(x_2,r_2)} \Big\|_{L^{p_1}(X_1; L^{p_2}(X_2)) \to L^2(X_1\times X_2)} \\ &\le C_{s,t} \prod_{i=1}^{2} \max\left\{ \frac{(R_i r_i)^{n_i}}{V_i(x_i, r_i)}, \frac{1}{V_i(x_i, R_i^{-1})} \right\}^{1/p_i - 1/2} (2^j r_1 R_1)^{-s} (2^k r_2 R_2)^{-t} \big\| \delta_{(R_1,R_2)} F \big\|_{W^{(s+\varepsilon,t+\varepsilon),2}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})}; \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

(ii) *If the function F is supported on $[-R_1, R_1] \times \mathbb{R}$, then for each $s > 0$ and for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a constant C_s such that for every ball $B_1(x_1, r_1)$ and for every $j = 1, 2, \dots,$*

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big\| P_{B_1(x_1,2^j r_1)^c \times X_2} F(\sqrt{L_1}, \sqrt{L_2}) P_{B_1(x_1,r_1)\times X_2} \Big\|_{L^{p_1}(X_1; L^2(X_2)) \to L^2(X_1\times X_2)} \\ &\le C_s \max\left\{ \frac{(R_1 r_1)^{n_1}}{V_1(x_1, r_1)}, \frac{1}{V_1(x_1, R_1^{-1})} \right\}^{1/p_1 - 1/2} (2^j r_1 R_1)^{-s} \big\| \delta_{(R_1,1)} F \big\|_{L^\infty_{\lambda_2}(\mathbb{R}; W^{s+\varepsilon,2}_{\lambda_1}(\mathbb{R}))}; \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

(iii) *If the function F is supported on $\mathbb{R} \times [-R_2, R_2]$, then for each $t > 0$ and for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a constant C_t such that for every ball $B_2(x_2, r_2)$ and for every $k = 1, 2, \dots,$*

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big\| P_{X_1 \times B_2(x_2,2^k r_2)^c} F(\sqrt{L_1}, \sqrt{L_2}) P_{X_1\times B_2(x_2,r_2)} \Big\|_{L^{p_2}(X_2; L^2(X_1)) \to L^2(X_1\times X_2)} \\ &\le C_t \max\left\{ \frac{(R_2 r_2)^{n_2}}{V_2(x_2, r_2)}, \frac{1}{V_2(x_2, R_2^{-1})} \right\}^{1/p_2 - 1/2} (2^k r_2 R_2)^{-t} \big\| \delta_{(1,R_2)} F \big\|_{L^\infty_{\lambda_1}(\mathbb{R}; W^{t+\varepsilon,2}_{\lambda_2}(\mathbb{R}))}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

To prove Proposition 5.3, we use the following lemma. For $\rho_1 > 0$ and $\rho_2 > 0$, we define the double diagonal set $\mathcal{D}_{(\rho_1,\rho_2)}$ by

$$\mathcal{D}_{(\rho_1,\rho_2)} := \{(x_1, x_2; y_1, y_2) \in (X_1 \times X_2) \times (X_1 \times X_2) : d_1(x_1, y_1) \le \rho_1 \text{ and } d_2(x_2, y_2) \le \rho_2\}.$$

Lemma 5.4. *Suppose that the metric measure spaces X_i, $i = 1, 2$, satisfy the doubling condition (1.9) with exponent n_i, and that L_1 and L_2 are nonnegative self-adjoint operators satisfying the finite propagation speed property* (FS). *Suppose that $F \in \mathscr{S}_{\mathrm{even}}$.*

(i) *If $\operatorname{supp} \widehat{F} \subset [-\rho_1, \rho_1] \times \mathbb{R}$, then*

$$\operatorname{supp} K_{F(\sqrt{L_1}, \sqrt{L_2})}(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) \subset \mathcal{D}_{(\rho_1,\infty)}.$$

(ii) *If $\operatorname{supp} \widehat{F} \subset \mathbb{R} \times [-\rho_2, \rho_2]$, then*

$$\operatorname{supp} K_{F(\sqrt{L_1}, \sqrt{L_2})}(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) \subset \mathcal{D}_{(\infty,\rho_2)}.$$

(iii) *If $\operatorname{supp} \widehat{F} \subset ([-\rho_1, \rho_1] \times \mathbb{R}) \cup (\mathbb{R} \times [-\rho_2, \rho_2])$, then*

$$\operatorname{supp} K_{F(\sqrt{L_1}, \sqrt{L_2})}(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) \subset \mathcal{D}_{(\rho_1,\infty)} \cup \mathcal{D}_{(\infty,\rho_2)}.$$

Proof. Since $F \in \mathscr{S}_{\mathrm{even}}$, it follows from the Fourier inversion formula that

$$F(\sqrt{L_1}, \sqrt{L_2}) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \widehat{F}(t_1, t_2) \cos(t_1 \sqrt{L_1}) \cos(t_2 \sqrt{L_2})\, dt_1 dt_2,$$

which gives

$$K_{F(\sqrt{L_1}, \sqrt{L_2})}(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2)$$

$$= \frac{1}{4\pi^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \widehat{F}(t_1,t_2)K_{\cos(t_1\sqrt{L_1})}(x_1,y_1)K_{\cos(t_2\sqrt{L_2})}(x_2,y_2)\,dt_1dt_2.$$

By a standard argument, as in [13, Lemma 2.1], Lemma 5.4 follows. □

Proof of Proposition 5.3. To prove item (i), we consider four cases separately.

Case (1): $r_1R_1 \geq 1$ and $r_2R_2 \geq 1$.

Recall that ϕ is a $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ function such that $\operatorname{supp}\phi \subseteq (1,4)$ and $\sum_{\ell\in\mathbb{Z}}\phi(2^{-\ell}\lambda) = 1$ for all $\lambda > 0$. Set

$$\phi_0(\lambda) := 1 - \sum_{\ell>0}\phi(2^{-\ell}\lambda), \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_\ell(\lambda) := \phi(2^{-\ell}\lambda) \quad \text{for } \ell \geq 1.$$

Define the functions

$$\psi(\xi_1,\xi_2) := 1 - \left(1-\phi_0\left(\frac{\xi_1}{2^{j-3}r_1}\right)\right)\left(1-\phi_0\left(\frac{\xi_2}{2^{k-3}r_2}\right)\right) \qquad \text{and}$$
$$\psi_0(\xi_1,\xi_2) := \delta_{(1/R_1,1/R_2)}\psi(\xi_1,\xi_2).$$

Set $\widehat{T_\psi F} := \psi\widehat{F}$, where $\widehat{F}$ denotes the Fourier transform of F. It follows from Lemma 5.4(iii) that

$$\operatorname{supp} K_{T_\psi F(\sqrt{L_1},\sqrt{L_2})} \subseteq \left\{(z_1,z_2,y_1,y_2) : d_1(z_1,y_1) \leq 2^{j-1}r_1 \text{ or } d_2(z_2,y_2) \leq 2^{k-1}r_2\right\}.$$

Therefore

$$K_{F(\sqrt{L_1},\sqrt{L_2})}(z_1,z_2,y_1,y_2) = K_{[F-T_\psi F](\sqrt{L_1},\sqrt{L_2})}(z_1,z_2,y_1,y_2), \tag{5.6}$$

when $d_1(z_1,y_1) > 2^{j-1}r_1$ and $d_2(z_2,y_2) > 2^{k-1}r_2$. We may write

$$1 \equiv \left(\sum_{\ell\geq 0}(\delta_{1/R_1}\phi_\ell)(\lambda_1)\right)\left(\sum_{m\geq 0}(\delta_{1/R_2}\phi_m)(\lambda_2)\right). \tag{5.7}$$

Set $\Phi_{\ell,m}(\lambda_1,\lambda_2) := \phi_\ell(\lambda_1)\phi_m(\lambda_2)$. Observe that for every $\ell, m \geq 0$,

$$\operatorname{supp}\left((\delta_{(1/R_1,1/R_2)}\Phi_{\ell,m})[F-T_\psi F]\right) \subseteq [-2^{\ell+2}R_1, 2^{\ell+2}R_1]\times[-2^{m+2}R_2, 2^{m+2}R_2],$$

This, in combination with equalities (5.6) and (5.7) and (i) of Lemma 5.1, shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|P_{B(x_1,2^jr_1)^c\times B(x,2^kr_2)^c}F(\sqrt{L_1},\sqrt{L_2})P_{B_1(x_1,r_1)\times B_2(x_2,r_2)}\right\|_{L^{p_1}(X_1;L^{p_2}(X_2))\to L^2(X_1\times X_2)}\\
&\leq \left\|(F-T_\psi F)(\sqrt{L_1},\sqrt{L_2})P_{B_1(x_1,r_1)\times B_2(x_2,r_2)}\right\|_{L^{p_1}(X_1;L^{p_2}(X_2))\to L^2(X_1\times X_2)}\\
&\leq \sum_{\ell\geq 0}\sum_{m\geq 0}\left\|((\delta_{(1/R_1,1/R_2)}\Phi_{\ell,m})[F-T_\psi F])(\sqrt{L_1},\sqrt{L_2})P_{B_1(x_1,r_1)\times B_2(x_2,r_2)}\right\|_{L^{p_1}(X_1;L^{p_2}(X_2))\to L^2(X_1\times X_2)}\\
&\leq C\prod_{i=1}^{2}\left(\frac{(R_ir_i)^{n_i}}{V_i(x_i,r_i)}\right)^{1/p_i-1/2} \times M_{\phi,F,R_1,R_2}, \qquad (5.8)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{\phi,F,R_1,R_2} :=\ & \left\|\phi_0(\lambda_1)\phi_0(\lambda_2)\delta_{(R_1,R_2)}[F-T_\psi F](\lambda_1,\lambda_2)\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}\\
&+\sum_{m\geq 1}2^{m(n_2(1/p_2-1/2)-1/2)}\left\|\phi_0(\lambda_1)\phi_m(\lambda_2)\delta_{(R_1,R_2)}[F-T_\psi F](\lambda_1,\lambda_2)\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}\\
&+\sum_{\ell\geq 1}2^{\ell(n_1(1/p_1-1/2)-1/2)}\left\|\phi_\ell(\lambda_1)\phi_0(\lambda_2)\delta_{(R_1,R_2)}[F-T_\psi F](\lambda_1,\lambda_2)\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}\\
&+\sum_{\ell\geq 1}\sum_{m\geq 1}2^{m(n_2(1/p_2-1/2)-1/2)}2^{\ell(n_1(1/p_1-1/2)-1/2)}\left\|\phi_\ell(\lambda_1)\phi_m(\lambda_2)\delta_{(R_1,R_2)}[F-T_\psi F](\lambda_1,\lambda_2)\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}
\end{aligned}$$

(5.9) $=:$ (I) + (II) + (III) + (IV).

For the term (I), we recall that $\psi_0(\xi_1,\xi_2) = \delta_{(1/R_1,1/R_2)}\psi(\xi_1,\xi_2)$ and use the Plancherel theorem to obtain

$$\text{(I)} \le C\left\|\delta_{(R_1,R_2)}[F - T_\psi F]\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \le C\left\|(1-\psi_0)\,\widehat{\delta_{(R_1,R_2)}F}\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}.$$

Now we estimate $\left\|(1-\psi_0)\,\widehat{\delta_{(R_1,R_2)}F}\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}$. Observe that if either $\ell < \ell_0 := \log_2(2^{j-4}r_1R_1)$ or $m < m_0 := \log_2(2^{k-4}r_2R_2)$ holds, then

$$\phi_\ell(\xi_1)\phi_m(\xi_2)[1-\psi_0](\xi_1,\xi_2) = 0,$$

which, together with equality (5.7), gives

(5.10)
$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|(1-\psi_0)\,\widehat{\delta_{(R_1,R_2)}F}\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}\\
&\quad= \left\|\left(\sum_{\ell\ge0}\phi_\ell(\xi_1)\right)\left(\sum_{m\ge0}\phi_m(\xi_2)\right)\left[(1-\psi_0)\widehat{\delta_{(R_1,R_2)}F}\right](\xi_1,\xi_2)\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}\\
&\quad\le \left\|\left(\sum_{\ell\ge\ell_0}\phi_\ell(\xi_1)\right)\left(\sum_{m\ge m_0}\phi_m(\xi_2)\right)\widehat{\delta_{(R_1,R_2)}F}(\xi_1,\xi_2)\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}\\
&\quad\le 2^{-(\ell_0 s+m_0 t)}\left\|\sum_{m\ge m_0}2^{mt}\left\|\phi_m(\xi_2)\left(\sum_{\ell\ge\ell_0}2^{s\ell_0}\phi_\ell(\xi_1)\widehat{\delta_{(R_1,R_2)}F}(\xi_1,\xi_2)\right)\right\|_{L^2_{\xi_2}(\mathbb{R})}\right\|_{L^2_{\xi_1}(\mathbb{R})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us now estimate the right hand side of (5.10). It follows from $\operatorname{supp}\phi_m \subset [2^m, 2^{m+2}]$ that for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{m\ge m_0}2^{mt}\left\|\phi_m(\xi_2)\left(\sum_{\ell\ge\ell_0}2^{s\ell_0}\phi_\ell(\xi_1)\widehat{\delta_{(R_1,R_2)}F}(\xi_1,\xi_2)\right)\right\|_{L^2_{\xi_2}(\mathbb{R})}\\
&\quad= \sum_{m\ge m_0}2^{mt}\left\|\left(1+\xi_2^2\right)^{-(t+\varepsilon)/2}\left(1+\xi_2^2\right)^{(t+\varepsilon)/2}\phi_m(\xi_2)\left(\sum_{\ell\ge\ell_0}2^{s\ell_0}\phi_\ell(\xi_1)\widehat{\delta_{(R_1,R_2)}F}(\xi_1,\xi_2)\right)\right\|_{L^2_{\xi_2}(\mathbb{R})}\\
&\quad\le C\sum_{m\ge m_0}2^{mt}2^{-(t+\varepsilon)m}\left\|\left(1+\xi_2^2\right)^{(t+\varepsilon)/2}\phi_m(\xi_2)\left(\sum_{\ell\ge\ell_0}2^{s\ell_0}\phi_\ell(\xi_1)\widehat{\delta_{(R_1,R_2)}F}(\xi_1,\xi_2)\right)\right\|_{L^2_{\xi_2}(\mathbb{R})}\\
&\quad\le C_\varepsilon\left\|\left(1+\xi_2^2\right)^{(t+\varepsilon)/2}\left(\sum_{\ell\ge\ell_0}2^{s\ell_0}\phi_\ell(\xi_1)\widehat{\delta_{(R_1,R_2)}F}(\xi_1,\xi_2)\right)\right\|_{L^2_{\xi_2}(\mathbb{R})}. \qquad (5.11)
\end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{RHS of (5.10)} &\le C_\varepsilon 2^{-(\ell_0 s+m_0 t)}\left\|\left\|\left(1+\xi_2^2\right)^{(t+\varepsilon)/2}\left(\sum_{\ell\ge\ell_0}2^{s\ell_0}\phi_\ell(\xi_1)\widehat{\delta_{(R_1,R_2)}F}\right)(\xi_1,\xi_2)\right\|_{L^2_{\xi_2}(\mathbb{R})}\right\|_{L^2_{\xi_1}(\mathbb{R})}\\
&\le C_\varepsilon 2^{-(\ell_0 s+m_0 t)}\left\|\left(1+\xi_2^2\right)^{(t+\varepsilon)/2}\sum_{\ell\ge\ell_0}2^{s\ell}\left\|\phi_\ell(\xi_1)\widehat{\delta_{(R_1,R_2)}F}(\xi_1,\xi_2)\right\|_{L^2_{\xi_1}(\mathbb{R})}\right\|_{L^2_{\xi_2}(\mathbb{R})}. \qquad (5.12)
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we apply a similar argument to that in (5.11) to obtain

$$\sum_{\ell\geq\ell_0} 2^{s\ell}\left\|\phi_\ell(\xi_1)\widehat{\delta_{(R_1,R_2)}F}(\xi_1,\xi_2)\right\|_{L^2_{\xi_2}(\mathbb{R})} \;\leq\; C_\varepsilon\left\|\left(1+\xi_1^2\right)^{(s+\varepsilon)/2}\widehat{\delta_{(R_1,R_2)}F}(\xi_1,\xi_2)\right\|_{L^2_{\xi_1}(\mathbb{R})},$$

which, in combination with estimates (5.12), (5.10) and the fact that $\ell_0 = \log_2(2^{j-4}r_1R_1)$ and $m_0 = \log_2(2^{k-4}r_2R_2)$, yields

$$\left\|(1-\psi_0)\,\widehat{\delta_{(R_1,R_2)}F}\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \;\leq\; C_\varepsilon(2^jr_1R_1)^{-s}(2^kr_2R_2)^{-t}\left\|\delta_{(R_1,R_2)}F\right\|_{W^{(s+\varepsilon,t+\varepsilon),2}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})}$$

as desired.

Now we consider the term (II). Set

$$\widetilde{\psi}(\xi_1,\xi_2) := \left(1-\phi_0\left(\frac{\xi_1}{2^{j-3}r_1R_1}\right)\right)\phi_0\left(\frac{\xi_2}{2^{k-3}r_2R_2}\right).$$

First, we observe that for each $m\geq 1$ and for each fixed λ_1, $\delta_{(R_1,R_2)}F(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)$ equals zero when λ_2 is in the support of the function ϕ_m. Thus for $m\geq 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\phi_0(\lambda_1)\phi_m(\lambda_2)\delta_{(R_1,R_2)}[F-T_\psi F]\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} &= \left\|\phi_0(\lambda_1)\phi_m(\lambda_2)T_{\widetilde{\psi}}\delta_{(R_1,R_2)}F\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}\\ &= \left\|\phi_m(\lambda_2)T_{\phi_0\left(\frac{\xi_2}{2^{k-3}r_2R_2}\right)}\widetilde{F}(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)},\end{aligned}\tag{5.13}$$

where $\widetilde{F}$ denotes the function

$$\widetilde{F}(\lambda_1,\lambda_2) := \phi_0(\lambda_1)T_{\left(1-\phi_0\left(\frac{\xi_1}{2^{j-3}r_1R_1}\right)\right)}\delta_{(R_1,R_2)}F(\lambda_1,\lambda_2).$$

Next, note that $\phi_0\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Thus for every $N>0$, there exists a constant C_N such that $\widehat{\phi_0}(\xi)\leq C_N(1+|\xi|)^{-N}$. This, together with the fact that $\operatorname{supp}\phi_m\subset[2^m,2^{m+2}]$ and $\operatorname{supp}\widetilde{F}\subset\mathbb{R}\times[-1,1]$, shows that for all λ_1, λ_2 we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\phi_m(\lambda_2)T_{\phi_0\left(\frac{\xi_2}{2^{k-3}r_2R_2}\right)}\widetilde{F}(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)\right| &\leq C_N\phi_m(\lambda_2)\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|\widetilde{F}(\lambda_1,w)\right|\frac{2^{k-3}r_2R_2}{(1+2^{k-3}r_2R_2|\lambda_2-w|)^N}\,dw\\ &\leq C_N(2^kr_2R_2\,2^m)^{-N+1}\phi_m(\lambda_2)\|\widetilde{F}(\lambda_1,\cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}.\end{aligned}\tag{5.14}$$

Again, we can apply a similar argument to that for the term (I) to show that for every λ_2,

$$\left\|\phi_0(\lambda_1)T_{\left(1-\phi_0\left(\frac{\xi_1}{2^{j-3}r_1R_1}\right)\right)}\delta_{(R_1,R_2)}F(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)\right\|_{L^2_{\lambda_1}(\mathbb{R})}\leq C(2^jr_1R_1)^{-s}\left\|\delta_{(R_1,R_2)}F(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)\right\|_{W^{s+\varepsilon,2}_{\lambda_1}(\mathbb{R})}.$$

This, in combination with (5.14), yields that

$$\text{RHS of (5.13)}\leq C_N(2^jr_1R_1)^{-s}(2^kr_2R_2\,2^m)^{-N+2}\left\|\delta_{(R_1,R_2)}F\right\|_{L^2_{\lambda_2}(\mathbb{R};W^{s+\varepsilon,2}_{\lambda_1}(\mathbb{R}))}.$$

Choosing N large enough that $N>\max\{n_2/2,t+2\}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\text{(II)} &\leq C\sum_{m\geq1}2^{m(n_2-1)/2}C_N(2^jr_1R_1)^{-s}(2^kr_2R_2\,2^m)^{-N+1}\left\|\delta_{(R_1,R_2)}F\right\|_{L^2_{\lambda_2}(\mathbb{R};W^{s+\varepsilon,2}_{\lambda_1}(\mathbb{R}))}\\ &\leq C_N(2^jr_1R_1)^{-s}(2^kr_2R_2)^{-t}\left\|\delta_{(R_1,R_2)}F\right\|_{L^2_{\lambda_2}(\mathbb{R};W^{s+\varepsilon,2}_{\lambda_1}(\mathbb{R}))}.\end{aligned}$$

A symmetric argument yields

$$\text{(III)}\leq C(2^jr_1R_1)^{-s}(2^kr_2R_2)^{-t}\left\|\delta_{(R_1,R_2)}F\right\|_{L^2_{\lambda_1}(\mathbb{R};\,W^{t+\varepsilon,2}_{\lambda_2}(\mathbb{R}))}.$$

Finally, we estimate the term (IV). Set

$$\widetilde{\widetilde{\psi}}(\xi_1,\xi_2) := \phi_0\left(\frac{\xi_1}{2^{j-3}r_1R_1}\right)\phi_0\left(\frac{\xi_2}{2^{k-3}r_2R_2}\right).$$

Then for $\ell \geq 1$ and $m \geq 1$, we have

$$\left\|\phi_\ell(\lambda_1)\phi_m(\lambda_2)\delta_{(R_1,R_2)}[F - T_\psi F]\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} = \left\|\phi_\ell(\lambda_1)\phi_m(\lambda_2)T_{\widetilde{\widetilde{\psi}}}\,\delta_{(R_1,R_2)}F\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}.$$

An argument as in (5.14) shows that

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\|\phi_\ell(\lambda_1)\phi_m(\lambda_2)T_{\widetilde{\widetilde{\psi}}}\,\delta_{(R_1,R_2)}F\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}\\ &\qquad\leq\ C_{N_1,N_2}(2^jr_1R_12^\ell)^{-N_1+2}(2^kr_2R_22^m)^{-N_2+2}\left\|\delta_{(R_1,R_2)}F\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}.\end{aligned}\tag{5.15}$$

Taking N_1 and N_2 large enough such that $N_1 > \max\{n_1/2, s+2\}$ and $N_2 > \max\{n_2/2, t+2\}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\text{(IV)}\ &\leq\ C_{N_1,N_2}\sum_{\ell\geq1}\sum_{m\geq1}2^{\ell(n_1-1)/2}2^{m(n_2-1)/2}(2^jr_1R_12^\ell)^{-N_1+2}(2^kr_2R_22^m)^{-N_2+2}\left\|\delta_{(R_1,R_2)}F\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}\\ &\leq\ C(2^jr_1R_1)^{-s}(2^kr_2R_2)^{-t}\left\|\delta_{(R_1,R_2)}F\right\|_{W^{(s+\varepsilon,t+\varepsilon),2}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})}.\end{aligned}$$

Substituting our estimates of (I), (II), (III) and (IV) back into (5.9), we see that

$$\text{LHS of (5.8)} \leq C\prod_{i=1}^{2}\left(\frac{(R_ir_i)^{n_i}}{V_i(x_i,r_i)}\right)^{1/p_i-1/2}(2^jr_1R_1)^{-s}(2^kr_2R_2)^{-t}\left\|\delta_{(R_1,R_2)}F\right\|_{W^{(s+\varepsilon,t+\varepsilon),2}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})},$$

which implies (5.3) in the case $r_1R_1 \geq 1$ and $r_2R_2 \geq 1$.

Case (2): $r_1R_1 < 1$ and $r_2R_2 \geq 1$.

In this case, we have that $r_1 < 1/R_1$. Let M_{ϕ,F,R_1,R_2} be as in (5.9). By (i) of Lemma 5.1, it can be verified that

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\|P_{B_1(x_1,2^jr_1)^c\times B_2(x_2,2^kr_2)^c}F(\sqrt{L_1},\sqrt{L_2})P_{B_1(x_1,r_1)\times B_2(x_2,r_2)}\right\|_{L^{p_1}(X_1;L^{p_2}(X_2))\to L^2(X_1\times X_2)}\\ &\quad\leq\left\|(F-T_\psi F)(\sqrt{L_1},\sqrt{L_2})P_{B_1(x_1,r_1)\times B_2(x_2,r_2)}\right\|_{L^{p_1}(X_1;L^{p_2}(X_2))\to L^2(X_1\times X_2)}\\ &\quad\leq\left\|(F-T_\psi F)(\sqrt{L_1},\sqrt{L_2})P_{B_1(x_1,1/R_1)\times B_2(x_2,r_2)}\right\|_{L^{p_1}(X_1;L^{p_2}(X_2))\to L^2(X_1\times X_2)}\\ &\quad\leq C\left(\frac{1}{V_1(x_1,R_1^{-1})}\right)^{1/p_1-1/2}\left(\frac{(R_2r_2)^{n_2}}{V_2(x_2,r_2)}\right)^{1/p_2-1/2}\times M_{\phi,F,R_1,R_2}.\end{aligned}\tag{5.16}$$

Substituting our estimates of (I), (II), (III) and (IV) of **Case (1)** back into (5.16), we find that

$$\text{LHS of (5.16)} \leq C\left(\frac{1}{V_1(x_1,R_1^{-1})}\right)^{1/p_1-1/2}\left(\frac{(R_2r_2)^{n_2}}{V_2(x_2,r_2)}\right)^{1/p_2-1/2}(2^jr_1R_1)^{-s}(2^kr_2R_2)^{-t}\left\|\delta_{(R_1,R_2)}F\right\|_{W^{(s+\varepsilon,t+\varepsilon),2}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})},$$

which implies (5.3) in the case $r_1R_1 < 1$ and $r_2R_2 \geq 1$.

Case (3): $r_1R_1 \geq 1$ and $r_2R_2 < 1$.

By a symmetric argument to that in **Case (2)**, we can prove **Case (3)**.

Case (4): $r_1R_1 < 1$ and $r_2R_2 < 1$.

A similar argument to that for **Case (2)** establishes **Case (4)**.

This completes the proof of (i). The proofs of items (ii) and (iii) can be obtained by making minor modifications to that of item (i); we omit the details. This completes the proof of Proposition 5.3. □

We end this section by stating Proposition 5.5, which is the analogue for restriction type estimates of the form $(\mathrm{ST}^\infty_{p_i,2})$ of Proposition 5.3 for $(\mathrm{ST}^2_{p_i,2})$. The proof can be obtained by making minor modifications to that of Proposition 5.3; we omit the details.

Proposition 5.5. *Suppose that the metric measure spaces X_i, $i = 1, 2$, satisfy the doubling condition (1.9) with exponent n_i. Further assume that L_i, $i = 1, 2$, are nonnegative self-adjoint operators satisfying the finite propagation speed property* (FS) *and restriction type estimates* $(\mathrm{ST}^{\infty}_{p_i,2})$*, for some p_i with $1 \le p_i < 2$. Suppose that $F \in \mathscr{S}_{\text{even}}$.*

(i) *If the function F is supported on $[-R_1, R_1] \times [-R_2, R_2]$, then for each $s > 0$ and $t > 0$, for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a constant $C_{s,t}$ such that for every $B_1(x_1, r_1) \times B_2(x_2, r_2)$ and for every $j, k = 1, 2, \dots,$*

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big\| P_{B(x_1,2^j r_1)^c \times B(x,2^k r_2)^c} F(\sqrt{L_1}, \sqrt{L_2}) P_{B_1(x_1,r_1)\times B_2(x_2,r_2)} \Big\|_{L^{p_1}(X_1; L^{p_2}(X_2)) \to L^2(X_1\times X_2)} \\ &\le \quad C_{s,t} \prod_{i=1}^{2} \max\left\{ \frac{(R_i r_i)^{n_i}}{V_i(x_i, r_i)}, \frac{1}{V_i(x_i, R_i^{-1})} \right\}^{1/p_i - 1/2} (2^j r_1 R_1)^{-s} (2^k r_2 R_2)^{-t} \big\| \delta_{(R_1,R_2)} F \big\|_{W^{(s+\varepsilon,t+\varepsilon),\infty}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})};\end{aligned}$$

(ii) *If the function F is supported on $[-R_1, R_1] \times \mathbb{R}$, then for each $s > 0$ and for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a constant C_s such that for every ball $B_1(x_1, r_1)$, and for every $j = 1, 2, \dots,$*

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big\| P_{B(x_1,2^j r_1)^c \times X_2} F(\sqrt{L_1}, \sqrt{L_2}) P_{B_1(x_1,r_1)\times X_2} \Big\|_{L^{p_1}(X_1; L^2(X_2)) \to L^2(X_1\times X_2)} \\ &\le \quad C_s \max\left\{ \frac{(R_1 r_1)^{n_1}}{V_1(x_1, r_1)}, \frac{1}{V_1(x_1, R_1^{-1})} \right\}^{1/p_1 - 1/2} (2^j r_1 R_1)^{-s} \big\| \delta_{(R_1,1)} F \big\|_{L^{\infty}_{\lambda_2}(\mathbb{R};\, W^{s+\varepsilon,\infty}_{\lambda_1}(\mathbb{R}))};\end{aligned}$$

(iii) *If the function F is supported on $\mathbb{R} \times [-R_2, R_2]$, then for each $t > 0$ and for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a constant C_t such that for every ball $B_2(x_2, r_2)$ and for every $k = 1, 2, \dots,$*

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big\| P_{X_1\times B_2(x_2,2^k r_2)^c} F(\sqrt{L_1}, \sqrt{L_2}) P_{X_1\times B_2(x_2,r_2)} \Big\|_{L^{p_2}(X_2; L^2(X_1)) \to L^2(X_1\times X_2)} \\ &\le \quad C_t \max\left\{ \frac{(R_2 r_2)^{n_2}}{V_2(x_2, r_2)}, \frac{1}{V_2(x_2, R_2^{-1})} \right\}^{1/p_2 - 1/2} (2^k r_2 R_2)^{-t} \big\| \delta_{(1,R_2)} F \big\|_{L^{\infty}_{\lambda_1}(\mathbb{R};\, W^{t+\varepsilon,\infty}_{\lambda_2}(\mathbb{R}))}.\end{aligned}$$

6. Proofs of Theorems 4.1 and 4.2

The proof of Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 is based on a pair of lemmata. The following lemma is a standard result in the theory of singular integrals on product spaces. It is a version of [19, Lemma 3.5] adjusted to the setting of spaces of homogeneous type.

Lemma 6.1. *Fix $N > \max\{n_1, n_2\}/4$. Assume that T is a linear operator, or a nonnegative sublinear operator, satisfying the weak-type (2,2) bound*

$$|\{x \in X_1 \times X_2 : |T f(x)| > \eta\}| \le C_T \eta^{-2} \|f\|^2_{L^2(X_1\times X_2)}, \quad \text{for all } \eta > 0,$$

and that for every $(H^1_{L_1,L_2}, 2, N)$-atom a, we have

$$\|Ta\|_{L^1(X_1\times X_2)} \le C \tag{6.1}$$

with constant C independent of a. Then T is bounded from $\mathbb{H}^1_{L_1,L_2,at,N}(X_1 \times X_2)$ to $L^1(X_1 \times X_2)$, and

$$\|T f\|_{L^1(X_1\times X_2)} \le C \|f\|_{\mathbb{H}^1_{L_1,L_2,at,N}(X_1\times X_2)}.$$

Consequently, by density, T extends to a bounded operator from $H^1_{L_1,L_2,at,N}(X_1 \times X_2)$ to $L^1(X_1 \times X_2)$.

Proof. Let $f \in \mathbb{H}^1_{L_1,L_2,at,N}(X_1 \times X_2)$, where $f = \sum \lambda_j a_j$ is an atomic $(H^1_{L_1,L_2}, 2, N)$-representation such that

$$\|f\|_{\mathbb{H}^1_{L_1,L_2,at,N}(X_1\times X_2)} \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\lambda_j|.$$

Since the sum converges in L^2 (by definition), and since T is of weak type $(2,2)$, we have that at almost every point,

$$|T(f)| \le \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\lambda_j|\,|T(a_j)|. \tag{6.2}$$

Indeed, for every $\eta > 0$, we have that, if $f^K := \sum_{j>K} \lambda_j a_j$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \Big|\{x : |Tf(x)| - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\lambda_j|\,|Ta_j(x)| > \eta\}\Big| &\le \limsup_{K\to\infty} \big|\{x : |Tf^K(x)| > \eta\}\big| \\ &\le C_T\ \eta^{-2} \limsup_{N\to\infty} \|f^K\|_2^2 = 0, \end{aligned}$$

from which (6.2) follows. In turn, (6.1) and (6.2) imply the desired L^1 bound for Tf. □

Now we recall Journé's covering lemma (see [35, 42]) in the setting of spaces of homogeneous type. Let (X_i, d_i, μ_i), $i = 1, 2$, be spaces of homogeneous type and let $\{I_{\alpha_i}^{k_i} \subset X_i\}$, $i = 1, 2$, be the same dyadic cubes as in Theorem 3.3. The open set $I_{\alpha_1}^{k_1} \times I_{\alpha_2}^{k_2}$ for $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\alpha_1 \in \Lambda_{k_1}$ and $\alpha_2 \in \Lambda_{k_2}$ is called a dyadic rectangle of $X_1 \times X_2$. Let $\Omega \subset X_1 \times X_2$ be an open set of finite measure. Denote by $m(\Omega)$ the maximal dyadic subrectangles in Ω. For $i = 1, 2$, denote by $m_i(\Omega)$ the family of dyadic rectangles $R \subset \Omega$ which are maximal in the x_i-direction. In what follows, we denote by $R := I_1 \times I_2$ any dyadic rectangle of $X_1 \times X_2$. Given $R = I_1 \times I_2 \in m_1(\Omega)$, let I_2^* be the largest dyadic cube containing I_2 such that

$$V(I_1 \times I_2^* \cap \Omega) > \frac{1}{2} V(I_1 \times I_2^*).$$

Similarly, given $R = I_1 \times I_2 \in m_2(\Omega)$, let I_1^* be the largest dyadic cube containing I_1 such that

$$V(I_1^* \times I_2 \cap \Omega) > \frac{1}{2} V(I_1^* \times I_2).$$

The following lemma is proved in [29].

Lemma 6.2 ([29]). *Let all the notation be the same as above. Assume that $\Omega \subset X_1 \times X_2$ is an open set with finite measure. Then for each $\delta > 0$, there exists a constant C such that*

$$\sum_{R=I_1\times I_2\in m_1(\Omega)} V(R)\left(\frac{\ell(I_2)}{\ell(I_2^*)}\right)^{\delta} \le CV(\Omega) \tag{6.3}$$

and

$$\sum_{R=I_1\times I_2\in m_2(\Omega)} V(R)\left(\frac{\ell(I_1)}{\ell(I_1^*)}\right)^{\delta} \le CV(\Omega). \tag{6.4}$$

With these results in hand, we are ready to prove Theorem 4.1.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Observe that

$$\sup_{t_1,t_2>0} \big\|\eta_{(1,2)}\delta_{(t_1,t_2)}F\big\|_{W^{(s_1,s_2),2}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})} \sim \sup_{t_1,t_2>0} \big\|\eta_{(1,2)}\delta_{(t_1,t_2)}G\big\|_{W^{(s_1,s_2),2}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})},$$

where $G(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = F(\sqrt{\lambda_1}, \sqrt{\lambda_2})$. Hence we can replace $F(L_1, L_2)$ by $F(\sqrt{L_1}, \sqrt{L_2})$ in the proof.

To prove (i) of Theorem 4.1, by Lemma 5.2 it suffices to show that $F(\sqrt{L_1}, \sqrt{L_2})$ is uniformly bounded on each $(H^1_{L_1,L_2}, 2, N)$-atom a with $N > \max\{n_1/4, n_2/4\}$, that is, there exists a constant $C > 0$ independent of a such that

$$\big\|F(\sqrt{L_1}, \sqrt{L_2})(a)\big\|_{L^1(X_1\times X_2)} \le C. \tag{6.5}$$

From Definition 3.4 of $(H^1_{L_1,L_2},2,N)$-atoms, we have that the atom a is supported in an open set $\Omega\subset X_1\times X_2$ with finite measure and a can be further decomposed into $a=\sum_{R\in m(\Omega)}a_R$. For each dyadic rectangle $R=I\times J\in m(\Omega)$, let I^* be the largest dyadic cube containing I such that $I^*\times J\subset\widetilde{\Omega}$, where $\widetilde{\Omega}:=\{x\in X_1\times X_2:\ M_s(\chi_\Omega)(x)>1/2\}$. Here M_s denotes the strong maximal operator. Next, let J^* be the largest dyadic cube containing J, so that $I^*\times J^*\subset\widetilde{\widetilde{\Omega}}$, where $\widetilde{\widetilde{\Omega}}:=\{x\in X_1\times X_2: M_s(\chi_{\widetilde{\Omega}})(x)>1/2\}$. Now let $\widetilde{R}$ be the 100-fold dilate of $I^*\times J^*$, as defined in Section 3.1. An application of the strong maximal function theorem shows that $V(\cup_{R\subset\Omega}\widetilde{R})\le CV(\widetilde{\widetilde{\Omega}})\le CV(\widetilde{\Omega})\le CV(\Omega)$. From (iii) in the definition of $(H^1_{L_1,L_2},2,N)$-atoms, we obtain that

$$\big\|F(\sqrt{L_1},\sqrt{L_2})a\big\|_{L^1(\cup\widetilde{R})}\le V(\cup\widetilde{R})^{1/2}\big\|F(\sqrt{L_1},\sqrt{L_2})a\big\|_{L^2(X_1\times X_2)}\le CV(\Omega)^{1/2}\|a\|_{L^2(X_1\times X_2)}\le C.$$

Here the union $\cup\widetilde{R}$ is over all dyadic rectangles $R=I\times J\in m(\Omega)$. Therefore, the proof of (6.5) reduces to showing that

$$\int_{(\cup\widetilde{R})^c}|F(\sqrt{L_1},\sqrt{L_2})(a)(x)|\,d\mu(x)\le C, \tag{6.6}$$

where $x=(x_1,x_2)\in X_1\times X_2$ and $\mu=\mu_1\times\mu_2$. Since $a=\sum_{R\in m(\Omega)}a_R$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{(\cup\widetilde{R})^c}|F(\sqrt{L_1},\sqrt{L_2})a(x)|\,d\mu(x)&\le\sum_{R\in m(\Omega)}\int_{\widetilde{R}^c}|F(\sqrt{L_1},\sqrt{L_2})a_R(x)|\,d\mu(x)\\ &\le\left(\sum_{R\in m(\Omega)}\int_{(100I^*)^c\times X_2}+\sum_{R\in m(\Omega)}\int_{X_1\times(100J^*)^c}\right)|F(\sqrt{L_1},\sqrt{L_2})a_R(x)|\,d\mu(x)\\ &=:D+E.\end{aligned} \tag{6.7}$$

Let us estimate the term D. One writes

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{R\in m(\Omega)}\int_{(100I^*)^c\times X_2}|F(\sqrt{L_1},\sqrt{L_2})(a)(x)|\,d\mu(x)\\ &=\sum_{R\in m(\Omega)}\left(\int_{(100I^*)^c\times 100J}+\int_{(100I^*)^c\times(100J)^c}\right)|F(\sqrt{L_1},\sqrt{L_2})(a)(x)|\,d\mu(x)=:D_1+D_2.\end{aligned} \tag{6.8}$$

Estimate of D_1. Choose $M\in\mathbb{N}$ large enough that $M>s/2$. Recall that $R=I\times J\in m(\Omega)$, and $\ell(I)$ denotes the diameter of I. Following (8.7) and (8.8) in [31], we write

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{1}_1&=2\Big(\ell(I)^{-2}\int_{\ell(I)}^{\sqrt{2}\ell(I)}w\,dw\Big)\cdot\mathbb{1}_1\\ &=2\ell(I)^{-2}\int_{\ell(I)}^{\sqrt{2}\ell(I)}w(\mathbb{1}_1-e^{-w^2L_1})^M\,dw+\sum_{\kappa=1}^{M}c_{\kappa,M}\ell(I)^{-2}\int_{\ell(I)}^{\sqrt{2}\ell(I)}we^{-\kappa w^2L_1}\,dw,\end{aligned} \tag{6.9}$$

where $c_{\kappa,M}\in\mathbb{R}$ are constants depending only on κ and M. However, $\partial_w e^{-\kappa w^2L_1}=-2\kappa wL_1e^{-\kappa w^2L_1}$, and therefore

$$\begin{aligned}2\kappa L_1\int_{\ell(I)}^{\sqrt{2}\ell(I)}we^{-\kappa w^2L_1}\,dw&=e^{-\kappa\ell(I)^2L_1}-e^{-2\kappa\ell(I)^2L_1}\\ &=e^{-\kappa\ell(I)^2L_1}(\mathbb{1}_1-e^{-\ell(I)^2L_1})\sum_{i=0}^{\kappa-1}e^{-i\ell(I)^2L_1}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.10}$$

Applying the procedure outlined in (6.9) and (6.10) M times, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{1}_1 &= 2^M\left(\ell(I)^{-2}\int_{\ell(I)}^{\sqrt{2}\ell(I)} w(\mathbb{1}_1-e^{-w^2L_1})^M\,dw\right)^M\\ &\quad+\sum_{m=1}^{M}\ell(I)^{-2m}\sum_{\kappa=1}^{(2M-1)m}c_{m,\kappa,M}e^{-\kappa\ell(I)^2L_1}\times\\ &\quad\times(\mathbb{1}_1-e^{-\ell(I)^2L_1})^m\left(2\ell(I)^{-2}\int_{\ell(I)}^{\sqrt{2}\ell(I)} w(\mathbb{1}_1-e^{-w^2L_1})^M\,dw\right)^{M-m}L_1^{-m}.\end{aligned}\tag{6.11}$$

Recall that $a_R=(L_1^M\otimes L_2^M)b_R$. For every $0\le m_i\le M$, $i=1,2$, we set

$$a_R^{(m_1,m_2)}(x):=(L_1^{M-m_1}\otimes L_2^{M-m_2})b_R(x).$$

With this notation, we apply (6.11) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&F(\sqrt{L_1},\sqrt{L_2})a(x)\\ &=\sum_{m=0}^{M-1}\ell(I)^{-2m}G_{m,M}(L_1)\left(\ell(I)^{-2}\int_{\ell(I)}^{\sqrt{2}\ell(I)} w(\mathbb{1}_1-e^{-w^2L_1})^M\,dw\right)F(\sqrt{L_1},\sqrt{L_2})a_R^{(m,0)}(x)\\ &\quad+\ell(I)^{-2M}\sum_{\kappa=1}^{(2M-1)M}c_{M,\kappa,M}e^{-\kappa\ell(I)^2L_1}(\mathbb{1}_1-e^{-\ell(I)^2L_1})^MF(\sqrt{L_1},\sqrt{L_2})a_R^{(M,0)}(x),\end{aligned}\tag{6.12}$$

where $G_{0,M}(L_1):=\mathbb{1}_1$ and for $m=1,\dots,M-1$,

$$G_{m,M}(L_1):=\sum_{\kappa=1}^{(2M-1)m}c_{m,\kappa,M}e^{-\kappa\ell(I)^2L_1}(\mathbb{1}_1-e^{-\ell(I)^2L_1})^m\left(2\ell(I)^{-2}\int_{\ell(I)}^{\sqrt{2}\ell(I)} w(\mathbb{1}_1-e^{-w^2L_1})^M\,dw\right)^{M-m-1}.$$

Substituting (6.12) back into the term D_1, we estimate the term D_1 by examining m in two cases: $m=0,1,\dots,M-1$ and $m=M$.

Case (1) $m=0,1,\dots,M-1$.

In this case, we want to estimate

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{(100I^*)^c\times 100J}\left|G_{m,M}(L_1)\left(\ell(I)^{-2}\int_{\ell(I)}^{\sqrt{2}\ell(I)} w(\mathbb{1}_1-e^{-w^2L_1})^MF(\sqrt{L_1},\sqrt{L_2})a_R^{(m,0)}(x)\,dw\right)\right|\,d\mu(x)\\ &\le\ell(I)^{-2}\int_{\ell(I)}^{\sqrt{2}\ell(I)} w\left\|G_{m,M}(L_1)(\mathbb{1}_1-e^{-w^2L_1})^MF(\sqrt{L_1},\sqrt{L_2})a_R^{(m,0)}\right\|_{L^1((100I^*)^c\times 100J)}\,dw.\end{aligned}\tag{6.13}$$

Recall that ϕ is a nonnegative C_0^∞ function as in (4.1). Then for every $w\in[\ell(I),\sqrt{2}\ell(I)]$ and for all $\lambda_1,\lambda_2\ge 0$,

$$G_{m,M}(\lambda_1^2)(1-e^{-w^2\lambda_1^2})^MF(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)=\sum_{\ell\in\mathbb{Z}}\phi(2^{-\ell}\lambda_1)G_{m,M}(\lambda_1^2)(1-e^{-w^2\lambda_1^2})^MF(\lambda_1,\lambda_2).$$

Set

$$F^\ell_{w,m,M}(\lambda_1,\lambda_2):=\phi(2^{-\ell}\lambda_1)G_{m,M}(\lambda_1^2)(1-e^{-w^2\lambda_1^2})^MF(\lambda_1,\lambda_2).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\|G_{m,M}(L_1)(\mathbb{1}_1-e^{-w^2L_1})^MF(\sqrt{L_1},\sqrt{L_2})a_R^{(m,0)}\right\|_{L^1((100I^*)^c\times 100J)}\\ &\le\sum_{\ell\in\mathbb{Z}}\left\|F^\ell_{w,m,M}(\sqrt{L_1},\sqrt{L_2})a_R^{(m,0)}\right\|_{L^1((100I^*)^c\times 100J)}\end{aligned}$$

$$\le \sum_{\ell\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{j=6}^{\infty}\Big(V(U_j(I^*)\times 100J)\Big)^{1/2}\left\|F^{\ell}_{w,m,M}(L_1,L_2)a_R^{(m,0)}\right\|_{L^2(U_j(I^*)\times 100J)}$$

$$\le \sum_{\ell\in\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{j=6}^{\infty}2^{jn_1/2}\left(\frac{V_1(I^*)}{V_1(I)}\right)^{1/2}V(R)^{1/2}\left\|F^{\ell}_{w,m,M}(L_1,L_2)a_R^{(m,0)}\right\|_{L^2(U_j(I^*)\times 100J)}. \tag{6.14}$$

To go further, there are two cases to consider: $2^\ell\ell(I)\ge 1$ and $2^\ell\ell(I)\le 1$.

Subcase (1.1) $2^\ell\ell(I)\ge 1$.

In this case, we note that $\operatorname{supp}F^{\ell}_{w,m,M}\subseteq[-2^{\ell+2},2^{\ell+2}]\times\mathbb{R}$ and $\operatorname{supp}a_R^{(m,0)}\subseteq 10R$. By (ii) of Proposition 5.3, choosing $n_1/2<s<2M$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|F^{\ell}_{w,m,M}(\sqrt{L_1},\sqrt{L_2})a_R^{(m,0)}\right\|_{L^2(U_j(I^*)\times 100J)}\\
&\le\left\|P_{U_j(I^*)\times X_2}F^{\ell}_{w,m,M}(\sqrt{L_1},\sqrt{L_2})P_{10I\times X_2}\right\|_{L^{p_1}(X_1;L^2(X_2))\to L^2(X_1\times X_2)}\left\|a_R^{(m,0)}\right\|_{L^{p_1}(X_1;L^2(X_2))}\\
&\le C\left(\frac{(2^\ell\ell(I))^{n_1}}{V_1(x_I,\ell(I))}\right)^{1/p_1-1/2}(2^{j+\ell}\ell(I^*))^{-s}\left\|\delta_{(2^\ell,1)}F^{\ell}_{w,m,M}\right\|_{L^\infty_{\lambda_2}(\mathbb{R};\,W^{s,2}_{\lambda_1}(\mathbb{R}))}\left\|a_R^{(m,0)}\right\|_{L^{p_1}(X_1;L^2(X_2))}\\
&\le C(2^\ell\ell(I))^{n_1(1/p_1-1/2)}(2^{j+\ell}\ell(I^*))^{-s}\left\|\delta_{(2^\ell,1)}F^{\ell}_{w,m,M}\right\|_{L^\infty_{\lambda_2}(\mathbb{R};\,W^{s,2}_{\lambda_1}(\mathbb{R}))}\left\|a_R^{(m,0)}\right\|_{L^2(X_1\times X_2)}\\
&\le C2^{-js}(2^\ell\ell(I))^{n_1(1/p_1-1/2)-s}\left(\frac{\ell(I)}{\ell(I^*)}\right)^{s}\left\|\delta_{(2^\ell,1)}F^{\ell}_{w,m,M}\right\|_{L^\infty_{\lambda_2}(\mathbb{R};\,W^{s,2}_{\lambda_1}(\mathbb{R}))}\left\|a_R^{(m,0)}\right\|_{L^2(X_1\times X_2)}.
\end{aligned}\tag{6.15}$$

Subcase (1.2) $2^\ell\ell(I)<1$.

By (ii) of Proposition 5.3, choosing $n_1/2<s<2M$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|F^{\ell}_{w,m,M}(\sqrt{L_1},\sqrt{L_2})a_R^{(m,0)}\right\|_{L^2(U_j(I^*)\times 100J)}\\
&\le\left\|P_{U_j(I^*)\times X_2}F^{\ell}_{w,m,M}(\sqrt{L_1},\sqrt{L_2})P_{10I\times X_2}\right\|_{L^{p_1}(X_1;L^2(X_2))\to L^2(X_1\times X_2)}\left\|a_R^{(m,0)}\right\|_{L^{p_1}(X_1;L^2(X_2))}\\
&\le C\left(\frac{1}{V_1(x_I,2^{-\ell})}\right)^{1/p_1-1/2}(2^{j+\ell}(I^*))^{-s}\left\|\delta_{(2^\ell,1)}F^{\ell}_{w,m,M}\right\|_{L^\infty_{\lambda_2}(\mathbb{R};\,W^{s,2}_{\lambda_1}(\mathbb{R}))}\left\|a_R^{(m,0)}\right\|_{L^{p_1}(X_1;L^2(X_2))}\\
&\le C\left(\frac{V_1(x_I,\ell(I))}{V_1(x_I,2^{-\ell})}\right)^{1/p_1-1/2}(2^{j+\ell}\ell(I^*))^{-s}\left\|\delta_{(2^\ell,1)}F^{\ell}_{w,m,M}\right\|_{L^\infty_{\lambda_2}(\mathbb{R};\,W^{s,2}_{\lambda_1}(\mathbb{R}))}\left\|a_R^{(m,0)}\right\|_{L^2(X_1\times X_2)}\\
&\le C2^{-js}(2^\ell\ell(I))^{-s}\left(\frac{\ell(I)}{\ell(I^*)}\right)^{s}\left\|\delta_{(2^\ell,1)}F^{\ell}_{w,m,M}\right\|_{L^\infty_{\lambda_2}(\mathbb{R};\,W^{s,2}_{\lambda_1}(\mathbb{R}))}\left\|a_R^{(m,0)}\right\|_{L^2(X_1\times X_2)}.
\end{aligned}\tag{6.16}$$

On the other hand, we use the Sobolev embedding theorem to obtain that for every $u\in[\ell(I),\sqrt{2}\ell(I)]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\delta_{(2^\ell,1)}F^{\ell}_{w,m,M}\right\|_{L^\infty_{\lambda_2}(\mathbb{R};\,W^{s,2}_{\lambda_1}(\mathbb{R}))}\\
&=\sup_{\lambda_2}\left\|\left(I+\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2}\right)^{s/2}\delta_{(2^\ell,1)}F^{\ell}_{w,m,M}(\cdot,\lambda_2)\right\|_{L^2_{\lambda_1}(\mathbb{R})}\\
&\le\left\{\sup_{t_2>0}\left\|\eta_{(1,2)}\delta_{(2^\ell,t_2)}F\right\|_{W^{(s,1/2+\varepsilon),2}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})}+\left\|\eta_1\delta_{(2^\ell,1)}F(\cdot,0)\right\|_{W^{s,2}(\mathbb{R})}\right\}\min\left\{1,(2^\ell w)^{2M}\right\}\\
&\le C\min\left\{1,(2^\ell\ell(I))^{2M}\right\}.
\end{aligned}\tag{6.17}$$

Since we chose $M>s/2$, we have

$$\sum_{\ell\in\mathbb{Z}:2^\ell\ell(I)\ge 1}(2^\ell\ell(I))^{n_1(1/p_1-1/2)-s}+\sum_{\ell\in\mathbb{Z}:2^\ell\ell(I)\le 1}(2^\ell\ell(I))^{2M-s}\le C.$$

This, in combination with estimates (6.14)–(6.17), yields that the right-hand side of inequality (6.14) is bounded by

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-j(s-\frac{n_1}{2})}\left(\frac{\ell(I)}{\ell(I^*)}\right)^{s-\frac{n_1}{2}} V(R)^{1/2}\left\|a_R^{(m,0)}\right\|_{L^2(X_1\times X_2)}$$

$$\leq C\left(\frac{\ell(I)}{\ell(I^*)}\right)^{s-\frac{n_1}{2}} V(R)^{1/2}\left\|a_R^{(m,0)}\right\|_{L^2(X_1\times X_2)}. \tag{6.18}$$

Case (2) $m = M$.

An argument similar to that in **Case (1)** shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{(100I^*)^c\times 100J}\left|\sum_{\kappa=1}^{(2M-1)M} c_{M,\kappa,M}e^{-\kappa\ell(I)^2L_1}(\mathbb{1}_1-e^{-\ell(I)^2L_1})^M F(\sqrt{L_1},\sqrt{L_2})a_R^{(M,0)}\right| d\mu(x)\\
&\quad\leq C\sum_{\kappa=1}^{(2M-1)M}\left\|e^{-\kappa\ell(I)^2L_1}(\mathbb{1}_1-e^{-\ell(I)^2L_1})^M F(\sqrt{L_1},\sqrt{L_2})a_R^{(M,0)}\right\|_{L^1((100I^*)^c\times 100J)}\\
&\quad\leq C\left(\frac{\ell(I)}{\ell(I^*)}\right)^{s-\frac{n_1}{2}} V(R)^{1/2}\left\|a_R^{(M,0)}\right\|_{L^2(X_1\times X_2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

With formula (6.12) and the estimates in **Cases (1)** and **(2)** in hand, we use the properties of $(H^1_{L_1,L_2},2,N)$-atoms and Journé's covering lemma to get

$$\begin{aligned}
D_1 &\leq C\sum_{m=0}^{M}\sum_{R\in m(\Omega)}\left(\frac{\ell(I)}{\ell(I^*)}\right)^{s-\frac{n_1}{2}} V(R)^{1/2}\ell(I)^{-2m}\left\|a_R^{(m,0)}\right\|_2\\
&\leq C\sum_{m=0}^{M}\left(\sum_{R\in m(\Omega)}\left(\frac{\ell(I)}{\ell(I^*)}\right)^{2s-n_1} V(R)\right)^{1/2}\left(\sum_{R\in m(\Omega)}\ell(I)^{-4m}\left\|a_R^{(m,0)}\right\|_2^2\right)^{1/2}\\
&\leq CV(\Omega)^{-1/2}V(\Omega)^{1/2}\leq C
\end{aligned} \tag{6.19}$$

as desired.

Estimate of D_2. Recall that $a_R^{(m_1,m_2)}(x) = (L_1^{M-m_1}\otimes L_2^{M-m_2})b_R(x)$ for each $m_1, m_2 \in \{0,1,\dots,M\}$. We use a similar argument to that in (6.11) and (6.12) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
D_2 \leq \sum_{R\in m(\Omega)}\Bigg\{&\sum_{m_1=0}^{M-1}\sum_{m_2=0}^{M-1}\ell(I)^{-2(m_1+1)}\ell(J)^{-2(m_2+1)}\int_{\ell(I)}^{\sqrt{2}\ell(I)}\int_{\ell(J)}^{\sqrt{2}\ell(J)} w_1w_2\left\|H_{m_1,m_2,w_1,w_2,M}\right\|_{L^1((100I^*)^c\times(100J)^c)}dw_1dw_2\\
&+\sum_{m_1=0}^{M-1}\sum_{\kappa_2=1}^{(2M-1)M}\ell(I)^{-2(m_1+1)}\ell(J)^{-2M}\int_{\ell(I)}^{\sqrt{2}\ell(I)} w_1\left\|U_{m_1,w_1,\kappa_2,M}\right\|_{L^1((100I^*)^c\times(100J)^c)}dw_1\\
&+\sum_{\kappa_1=1}^{(2M-1)M}\sum_{m_2=0}^{M-1}\ell(I)^{-2M}\ell(J)^{-2(m_2+1)}\int_{\ell(J)}^{\sqrt{2}\ell(J)} w_2\left\|V_{m_2,\kappa_1,w_2,M}\right\|_{L^1((100I^*)^c\times(100J)^c)}dw_2\\
&+\sum_{\kappa_1=1}^{(2M-1)M}\sum_{\kappa_2=1}^{(2M-1)M}\ell(I)^{-2M}\ell(J)^{-2M}\left\|Z_{\kappa_1,\kappa_2,M}\right\|_{L^1((100I^*)^c\times(100J)^c)}\Bigg\}\\
=:\ &D_{21}+D_{22}+D_{23}+D_{24},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{m_1,m_2,w_1,w_2,M}(x) &:= G_{m_1,M}(L_1)(\mathbb{1}_1 - e^{-w_1^2 L_1})^M(\mathbb{1}_2 - e^{-w_2^2 L_2})^M F(\sqrt{L_1}, \sqrt{L_2})a_R^{(m_1,m_2)}(x),\\
U_{m_1,w_1,\kappa_2,M}(x) &:= G_{m_1,M}(L_1)e^{-\kappa_2 \ell(J)^2 L_2}(\mathbb{1}_1 - e^{-w_1^2 L_1})^M(\mathbb{1}_2 - e^{-\ell(J)^2 L_2})^M F(\sqrt{L_1}, \sqrt{L_2})a_R^{(m_1,M)}(x),\\
V_{m_2,\kappa_1,w_2,M}(x) &:= G_{m_2,M}(L_2)e^{-\kappa_1 \ell(I)^2 L_1}(\mathbb{1}_1 - e^{-\ell(I)^2 L_1})^M(\mathbb{1}_2 - e^{-w_2^2 L_2})^M F(\sqrt{L_1}, \sqrt{L_2})a_R^{(M,m_2)}(x),\\
Z_{\kappa_1,\kappa_2,M}(x) &:= e^{-\kappa_1 \ell(I)^2 L_1}e^{-\kappa_2 \ell(J)^2 L_2}(\mathbb{1}_1 - e^{-\ell(I)^2 L_1})^M(\mathbb{1}_2 - e^{-\ell(J)^2 L_2})^M F(\sqrt{L_1}, \sqrt{L_2})a_R^{(M,M)}(x).
\end{aligned}$$

By (i) of Proposition 5.3, a similar argument to that for D_1 shows

$$D_{21} \le C \sum_{R\in m(\Omega)} \sum_{m_1=0}^{M-1}\sum_{m_2=0}^{M-1} \ell(I)^{-2m_1}\ell(J)^{-2m_2}\left(\frac{\ell(I)}{\ell(I^*)}\right)^{s-\frac{n_1}{2}} V(R)^{1/2} \qquad \left\|a_R^{(m_1,m_2)}\right\|_{L^2(X_1\times X_2)}.$$

A similar argument to the one above shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&D_{21} + D_{23} + D_{24}\\
&\quad\le C \sum_{R\in m(\Omega)} \left(\frac{\ell(I)}{\ell(I^*)}\right)^{s-\frac{n_1}{2}} V(R)^{1/2}\left\{\sum_{m_1=0}^{M-1} \ell(I)^{-2m_1}\ell(J)^{-2M}\left\|a_R^{(m_1,M)}\right\|_{L^2(X_1\times X_2)}\right.\\
&\qquad\left. + \sum_{m_2=0}^{M-1} \ell(I)^{-2M}\ell(J)^{-2m_2}\left\|a_R^{(M,m_2)}\right\|_{L^2(X_1\times X_2)} + \ell(I)^{-2M}\ell(J)^{-2M}\left\|a_R^{(M,M)}\right\|_{L^2(X_1\times X_2)}\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

From the estimates of D_{21}, D_{22}, D_{23} and D_{24}, Hölder's inequality, Journé's covering lemma and the properties of $(H^1_{L_1,L_2}, 2, N)$-atoms, we have

$$D_2 \le CV(\Omega)^{-1/2}V(\Omega)^{1/2} \le C. \tag{6.20}$$

This, together with estimate (6.19), shows that $D \le C$. By a symmetric argument, we find that $E \le C$, which completes the proof of estimate (6.6). This proves (i) of Theorem 4.1.

We then apply Theorem 3.6 to interpolate with the L^2 result to obtain that the operator $F(L_1, L_2)$ is bounded from $H^p_{L_1,L_2}(X_1 \times X_2)$ to $L^p(X_1 \times X_2)$ for $1 < p \le 2$. From Proposition 3.2 and by duality, (ii) of Theorem 4.1 follows readily. The proof of Theorem 4.1 is complete. □

Proof of Theorem 4.2. Using Proposition 5.5 in place of Proposition 5.3, the proof of Theorem 4.2 is similar to that of Theorem 4.1 with minor modifications. We omit the details. □

Remark 6.3. We note that the one-variable Sobolev conditions (4.4) and (4.5) in Theorem 4.1 and (4.7) and (4.8) in Theorem 4.2 are only used at one point in the proofs (see details below), and indeed for certain operators one or both of these one-variable Sobolev assumptions can be dropped. Specifically, let L_1 and L_2 be operators as in Theorem 4.1, and in addition suppose that either 0 is not in the spectrum of L_1 or 0 is in the absolutely continuous spectrum of L_1. If F is a bounded Borel function satisfying the Sobolev conditions (4.3) and (4.4) of Theorem 4.1, then the conclusions of Theorem 4.1 hold; the second one-variable Sobolev condition (4.5) is not necessary for such an F. Similarly, for the conclusions of Theorem 4.2 to hold, it suffices for F to satisfy conditions (4.6) and (4.7); condition (4.8) is not necessary. Further, by symmetry, the corresponding statements apply if either 0 is not in the spectrum of L_2 or 0 is in the absolutely continuous spectrum of L_2.

We sketch the necessary modifications to the proofs, for L_1 and Theorem 4.1; the other cases are similar. If the spectrum of L_1 does not include the point 0, or if 0 belongs to the absolutely continuous spectrum of L_1, then the $L^2 \to L^2$ operator bound of a spectral multiplier operator can be controlled by the L^∞ norm of the multiplier function on the open interval $(0, \infty)$, not including the point 0. Thus in the term $\|\delta_{(1,R_2)}F\|_{L^\infty_{\lambda_1}(\mathbb{R};\, L^2_{\lambda_2}(\mathbb{R}))}$ in Lemma 5.1(iii), the $L^\infty_{\lambda_1}$ norm is taken on the

open interval $(0,\infty)$, not including the point 0. Therefore in estimate (5.5) in Proposition 5.3, the $L^\infty_{\lambda_1}$ norm of the function $\delta_{(1,R_2)}F$ is taken on the open interval $(0,\infty)$, not including the point 0. So in estimate (6.17) in the proof of Theorem 4.1, we can control $\big\|\phi\delta_{(2^\ell,1)}F^\ell_{w,m,M}\big\|_{L^\infty_{\lambda_2}(\mathbb{R};\,W^{s,2}_{\lambda_1}(\mathbb{R}))}$ simply by $\sup_{t_2>0}\big\|\eta_{(1,2)}\delta_{(2^\ell,t_2)}F\big\|_{W^{(s,1/2+\varepsilon),2}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})}\cdot\min\big\{1,(2^\ell w)^{2M}\big\}$. We no longer need the term $\big\|\eta_1\delta_{(2^\ell,1)}F(\cdot,0)\big\|_{W^{s,2}(\mathbb{R})}$.

7. Applications

As an illustration of our results, we discuss two applications. Our main results, Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 and Corollary 4.3, can be applied to second-order operators (see for instance [13, 20]), including standard Laplace operators, Schrödinger operators with inverse-square potential, and sub-Laplacians on homogeneous groups. Here we present applications to Riesz-transform-like operators and to double Bochner–Riesz means.

7.1. **Riesz-transform-like operators.** One motivation for multivariable spectral multipliers comes from operators that resemble the Riesz transform. For example, the operators

$$\frac{L_1^{\alpha_1}L_2^{\alpha_2}}{(L_1+L_2)^{\alpha}},\qquad \alpha=\alpha_1+\alpha_2 \ \text{ with } \alpha_1,\alpha_2>0 \tag{7.1}$$

and

$$\frac{\sqrt{L_1}}{\sqrt{L_1}+iL_2} \tag{7.2}$$

are known to be bounded on $L^2(X_1\times X_2)$.

Applying Theorem 4.1, we have the following result.

Proposition 7.1. *Suppose that the metric measure spaces X_i, $i=1,2$, satisfy the doubling condition (1.9) with exponent n_i. Suppose that L_i, $i=1,2$, satisfy the finite propagation speed property* (FS) *and restriction type estimates* $(\mathrm{ST}^2_{p_i,2})$ *for some p_i with $1\le p_i<2$. Then*

(i) *the operators in (7.1) and (7.2) extend to bounded operators from $H^1_{L_1,L_2}(X_1\times X_2)$ to $L^1(X_1\times X_2)$, and*
(ii) *the operators in (7.1) and (7.2) are bounded on $L^p(X_1\times X_2)$ for all p with $\max\{p_1,p_2\}<p\le 2$.*

Proof. It can be verified that the multipliers

$$\frac{\lambda_1^{2\alpha_1}\lambda_2^{2\alpha_2}}{(\lambda_1^2+\lambda_2^2)^{\alpha}},\qquad \alpha=\alpha_1+\alpha_2 \ \text{ with } \alpha_1,\alpha_2>0$$

and

$$\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1+i\lambda_2^2}$$

satisfy conditions (4.3), (4.4) and (4.5) (see paragraph 6.2.4 on page 110, [45]). The desired results then follow readily. □

7.2. **Double Bochner–Riesz means.** Suppose that the metric measure spaces X_i, $i = 1, 2$, satisfy the doubling condition (1.9) with exponent n_i. Further assume that L_i, $i = 1, 2$, are nonnegative self-adjoint operators satisfying the finite propagation speed property (FS). Define

$$S^{\delta}_{R_1,R_2}(\lambda_1,\lambda_2) := \begin{cases} \left(1 - \dfrac{\lambda_1^2}{R_1^2} - \dfrac{\lambda_2^2}{R_2^2}\right)^{\delta} & \text{for } \dfrac{\lambda_1^2}{R_1^2} + \dfrac{\lambda_2^2}{R_2^2} \le 1, \\ 0 & \text{for } \dfrac{\lambda_1^2}{R_1^2} + \dfrac{\lambda_2^2}{R_2^2} > 1. \end{cases} \tag{7.3}$$

We then define the operator $S^{\delta}_{R_1,R_2}(\sqrt{L_1}, \sqrt{L_2})$ using (1.1). We call $S^{\delta}_{R_1,R_2}(\sqrt{L_1}, \sqrt{L_2})$ the *double Bochner–Riesz mean of order* δ. The basic question in the theory of Bochner–Riesz means is to establish the critical exponent for uniform continuity with respect to R_1, R_2 and convergence of the Riesz means on $L^p(X_1 \times X_2)$ spaces for various p with $1 \le p \le \infty$.

For $\delta = 0$, $S^{0}_{R_1,R_2}(\sqrt{L_1}, \sqrt{L_2})$ is the spectral projector $E_{\sqrt{L_1},\sqrt{L_2}}([0, R_1] \times [0, R_2])$, while for $\delta > 0$, $S^{\delta}_{R_1,R_2}(\sqrt{L_1}, \sqrt{L_2})$ can be seen as a smoothed version of this spectral projector. Bochner–Riesz summability describes the range of δ for which the above operators are bounded on $L^p(X_1 \times X_2)$, uniformly in R_1, R_2. Note that if $0 < s < \delta + 1/2$, then $S^{\delta}_{R_1,R_2}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in W^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$; see [3, Lemma 4.4]. Also, $W^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^2) \hookrightarrow W^{(s_1,s_2),2}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ for $s_1 + s_2 = s$. As a consequence of Theorem 4.1 and Proposition 3.2, we obtain the following result.

Proposition 7.2. *Suppose that the metric measure spaces X_i, $i = 1, 2$, satisfy the doubling condition* (1.9) *with exponent n_i. Further assume that L_i, $i = 1, 2$, are nonnegative self-adjoint operators satisfying the finite propagation speed property* (FS) *and restriction type estimates* $(\mathrm{ST}^2_{p_i,2})$ *for some p_i with $1 \le p_i < 2$. Then for all $\delta > (n_1 + n_2)/2 - 1/2$,*

(i) *the operator $S^{\delta}_{R_1,R_2}(\sqrt{L_1}, \sqrt{L_2})$ extends to a bounded operator from $H^1_{L_1,L_2}(X_1 \times X_2)$ to $L^1(X_1 \times X_2)$, and*

(ii) *$S^{\delta}_{R_1,R_2}(\sqrt{L_1}, \sqrt{L_2})$ is bounded on $L^p(X_1 \times X_2)$ for all p_i with $\max\{p_1, p_2\} < p \le 2$. In addition,*

$$\sup_{R_1,R_2>0} \left\| S^{\delta}_{R_1,R_2}(\sqrt{L_1}, \sqrt{L_2}) f \right\|_{L^p(X_1\times X_2)} \le C \|f\|_{L^p(X_1\times X_2)}.$$

Acknowledgments. P. Chen, X.T. Duong, J. Li and L.A. Ward are supported by the Australian Research Council (ARC) under Grant No. ARC-DP120100399. J. Li is also supported by the NNSF of China, Grant No. 11001275. L.X. Yan is supported by the NNSF of China, Grant Nos. 10925106 and 11371378. Part of this work was done during L.X. Yan's stay at Macquarie University and visit to the University of South Australia. L.X. Yan would like to thank Macquarie University and the University of South Australia for their hospitality.

References

[1] P. Auscher, On necessary and sufficient conditions for L^p-estimates of Riesz transforms associated to elliptic operators on $\mathbb{R}$ and related estimates, *Memoirs of the Amer. Math. Soc.* **186**, no. 871 (2007), xviii+75 pp.

[2] P. Auscher, A. M$^{\mathrm{c}}$Intosh and E. Russ, Hardy spaces of differential forms on Riemannian manifolds, *J. Geom. Anal.* **18** (2008), 192–248.

[3] F. Bernicot, L. Grafakos, L. Song and L.X. Yan, The bilinear Bochner-Riesz problem. Preprint (2013).

[4] M. Bownik, B.D. Li, D.C. Yang and Y. Zhou, Weighted anisotropic product Hardy spaces and boundedness of sublinear operators, *Math. Nachr.* **283** (2010), no. 3, 392–442.

[5] A. Carbery and A. Seeger, H^p- and L^p-variants of multiparameter Calderón-Zygmund theory, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **334** (1992), 719–747.

[6] L. Carleson, A counterexample for measures bounded on H^p for the bi-disc, Mittag Leffler Report No. 7, 1974.

[7] D.C. Chang, D.C. Yang and Y. Zhou, Boundedness of sublinear operators on product Hardy spaces and its application, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **62** (2010), no. 1, 321–353.
[8] S.-Y.A. Chang and R. Fefferman, A continuous version of the duality of H^1 with BMO on the bi-disc, *Ann. Math.* **112** (1980), 179–201.
[9] S.-Y.A. Chang and R. Fefferman, The Calderón-Zygmund decomposition on product domains, *Amer. J. Math.* **104** (1982), 445–468.
[10] J. Cheeger, M. Gromov and M. Taylor, Finite propagation speed, kernel estimates for functions of the Laplacian and the geometry of complete Riemannian manifolds, *J. Differential Geom.* **17** (1982), 15–53.
[11] L.K. Chen, The multiplier operators on the product spaces, *Illinois J. Math.* **38** (1994), 420–433.
[12] P. Chen, X.T. Duong, J. Li, L.A. Ward and L.X. Yan, Hardy spaces associated to self-adjoint operators satisfying generalized Gaussian estimates on product domains. Submitted, 2014.
[13] P. Chen, E.M. Ouhabaz, A. Sikora and L.X. Yan, Endpoint estimates for Bochner-Riesz means and sharp spectral multipliers, to appear in Journal d'Analyse Mathématique.
[14] M. Christ, A $T(b)$ theorem with remarks on analytic capacity and the Cauchy integral, *Colloq. Math.* **61** (1990), 601–628.
[15] M. Christ, L^p bounds for spectral multipliers on nilpotent groups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **328** (1991), no. 1, 73–81.
[16] R.R. Coifman and G. Weiss, Analyse harmonique non-commutative sur certains espaces homogènes. Étude de certaines intégrals singulières, *Lecture Notes in Math.* **242**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1971.
[17] T. Coulhon and A. Sikora, Gaussian heat kernel upper bounds via Phragmén-Lindelöf theorem, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* **96** (2008), 507–544.
[18] D.G. Deng, L. Song, C.Q. Tan and L.X. Yan, Duality of Hardy and BMO spaces associated with operators with heat kernel bounds on product domains, *J. Geom. Anal.* **17** (2007), 455-483.
[19] X.T. Duong, J. Li and L.X. Yan, Endpoint estimates for singular integrals with non-smooth kernels on product spaces. Submitted, 2014.
[20] X.T. Duong, E.M. Ouhabaz and A. Sikora, Plancherel-type estimates and sharp spectral multipliers. *J. Funct. Anal.* **196** (2002), 443–485.
[21] X.T. Duong and L.X. Yan, Duality of Hardy and BMO spaces associated with operators with heat kernel bounds. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **18** (2005), 943–973.
[22] X.T. Duong and L.X. Yan, Spectral multipliers for Hardy spaces associated to non-negative self-adjoint operators satisfying Davies-Gaffney estimates, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **63** (2011), 295–319.
[23] R. Fefferman, Calderón-Zygmund theory for product domains: H^p spaces, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* **83** (1986), 840–843.
[24] G. Folland and E.M. Stein, *Hardy spaces on Homogeneous Groups*, Princeton Univ. Press, 1982.
[25] L. Grafakos, P. Honzk and A. Seeger, On maximal functions for Mikhlin-Hrmander multipliers, *Adv. Math.* **204** (2006), no. 2, 363–378.
[26] L. Grafakos and Z.Y. Si, The Hörmander multiplier theorem for multilinear operators, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **668** (2012), 133–147.
[27] C. Guillarmou, A. Hassell and A. Sikora, Restriction and spectral multiplier theorems on asymptotically conic manifolds, *Anal. PDE* **6** (2013), 893–950.
[28] R. Gundy and E.M. Stein, H^p theory for the poly-disc, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* **76** (1979), 1026–1029.
[29] Y.S. Han, J. Li and C.C. Lin, Criterions of the L^2 boundedness and sharp endpoint estimates for singular integral operators on product spaces of homogeneous type, accepted by Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa, (2015), DOI: 10.2422/2036 – 2145.201411_002.
[30] S. Hofmann, G.Z. Lu, D. Mitrea, M. Mitrea and L.X. Yan, Hardy spaces associated to non-negative self-adjoint operators satisfying Davies-Gaffney estimates, *Memoirs of Amer. Math. Soc.* **214** (2011), no. 1007, vi+78 pp.
[31] S. Hofmann and S. Mayboroda, Hardy and BMO spaces associated to divergence form elliptic operators, *Math. Ann.* **344** (2009), 37–116.
[32] S. Hofmann, S. Mayboroda and A. McIntosh, Second order elliptic operators with complex bounded measurable coefficients in L^p, Sobolev and Hardy spaces, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* **44** (2011), 723–800.
[33] L. Hörmander, Estimates for translation invariant operators in L^p spaces, *Acta Math.* **104** (1960), 93–140.
[34] J.-L. Journé, Calderón-Zygmund operators on product spaces, *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana* **1** (1985), 55–91.
[35] J.-L. Journé, A covering lemma for product spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **96** (1986), 593–598.
[36] P.C. Kunstmann and M. Uhl, Spectral multiplier theorems of Hörmander type on Hardy and Lebesgue spaces, to appear in Analysis & PDE.
[37] V. Liskevich, Z. Sobol and H. Vogt, On the L^p theory of C^0-semigroups associated with second-order elliptic operators II, *J. Funct. Anal.* **193** (2002), 55–76.

[38] A. Martini, Analysis of joint spectral multipliers on Lie groups of polynomial growth, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **62** (2012), 1215–1263.
[39] D. Müller, F. Ricci and E.M. Stein, Marcinkiewicz multipliers and multi-parameter structure on Heisenberg (-type) groups, I, *Invent. Math.* **119** (1995), 199–233.
[40] D. Müller, F. Ricci and E.M. Stein, Marcinkiewicz multipliers and multi-parameter structure on Heisenberg (-type) groups, II. *Math. Z.* **221** (1996), 267–291.
[41] E.M. Ouhabaz, *Analysis of heat equations on domains*, London Math. Soc. Monographs, Vol. **31**, Princeton Univ. Press, 2004.
[42] J. Pipher, Journé's covering lemma and its extension to higher dimensions, *Duke Math. J.* **53** (1986), 683–690.
[43] A. Sikora, Riesz transform, Gaussian bounds and the method of wave equation, *Math. Z.* **247** (2004), 643–662.
[44] A. Sikora, Multivariable spectral multipliers and analysis of quasielliptic operators on fractals, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **58** (2009), 317–334.
[45] E.M. Stein, *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*, Princeton Mathematical Series, No. **30**, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1970.
[46] E.M. Stein, *Harmonic analysis: Real variable methods, orthogonality and oscillatory integrals*, with the assistance of Timothy S. Murphy, Princeton Mathematical Series, No. **43**, Monographs in Harmonic Analysis, III, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.
[47] N. Varopoulos, L. Saloff-Coste and T. Coulhon, *Analysis and geometry on groups*, Cambridge Univ. Press, London, 1993.
[48] K. Yosida, *Functional Analysis* (fifth edition), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1978.

Peng Chen, Department of Mathematics, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, 510275, P.R. China
E-mail address: achenpeng1981@163.com

Xuan Thinh Duong, Department of Mathematics, Macquarie University, NSW 2109, Australia
E-mail address: xuan.duong@mq.edu.au

Ji Li, Department of Mathematics, Macquarie University, NSW 2109, Australia
E-mail address: ji.li@mq.edu.au

Lesley A. Ward, School of Information Technology and Mathematical Sciences, University of South Australia, Mawson Lakes SA 5095, Australia
E-mail address: lesley.ward@unisa.edu.au

Lixin Yan, Department of Mathematics, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, 510275, P.R. China
E-mail address: mcsylx@mail.sysu.edu.cn